

### CLOSURE STATEMENT TMS Cast Stone Committee TMS 404 – Standard for Design of Architectural Cast Stone TMS 504 – Standard for Fabrication of Architectural Cast Stone TMS 604 – Standard Specification for Installation of Architectural Cast Stone Last Revised May 5, 2023

Dear Phil and Scott,

In accordance with Section 5.3 of the TMS *Technical Committee Operations Manual*, this letter is submitted to you on behalf of the TMS Cast Stone Committee, which operates under the ANSI-accredited technical committee operating procedures of The Masonry Society. This letter is submitted to you in fulfillment of those requirements as the Cast Stone Committee concludes its work drafting and finalizing the mandatory-language standards *Standard for Design of Architectural Cast Stone* (TMS 404), *Standard for Fabrication of Architectural Cast Stone* (TMS 504), and *Standard Specification for Installation of Architectural Cast Stone* (TMS 604) and their corresponding non-mandatory commentaries. The Committee recommends each of these standards move forward for publication.

Committee activities over the past five years have focused on updating these standards to reflect the current knowledge and best minimum practices for the design, fabrication, and installation of cast stone. In accomplishing this objective, the Cast Stone Committee took great care in not knowingly introducing conflicts between these standards and existing TMS publications.

Attached to this letter are the formal records and documents related to the Committee actions pertaining to the Public Review Period of these three new standards. The public review period was initiated December 16, 2022 and closed on January 30, 2023, the minimum 45 day public review period as required by the TMS TCOM. The Public Comments received during this review resulted in a number of revisions proposed on Letter Ballots 2022-05 and 2022-06 as detailed in the attachments to this letter.

I shall be happy to answer any questions that you may have regarding this submittal.

Very truly yours,

David Owen Chair, Cast Stone Committee

cc: Cast Stone Committee

Attachments:

- 1. CSC Letter Ballot 2022-05
- 2. Compilation of Responses to Letter Ballot 2022-05
- 3. CSC Letter Ballot 2022-06
- 4. Compilation of Responses to Letter Ballot 2022-06
- 5. No Protest Committee Responses to Public Comments; Last Revised March 27, 2023
- 6. Cast Stone Committee March 27, 2023 Meeting Minutes
- 7. Final Drafts of:
  - a. TMS 404 Standard for Design of Architectural Cast Stone
  - b. TMS 504 Standard for Fabrication of Architectural Cast Stone
  - c. TMS 604 Standard Specification for Installation of Architectural Cast Stone

### Letter Ballot CSC 2022-05 Design and Construction Standards for Cast Stone The Masonry Society's Cast Stone Committee

#### Ballot Issue Date: February 21, 2023 Ballot Closure Date: March 23, 2023

#### **Information and Instructions to Committee Members**

Letter ballot CSC 2020-05 includes proposes responses to public comments received during the public review of TMS 404/504/604. The 45 day public review period closed on January 30, 2023. In accordance with the Technical Committee Operating Manual (TCOM) of TMS, all public comments must be reviewed and responded to by the Committee. The Committee may choose to proposed changes in response to one or more public comments, as is being proposed by this letter ballot.

#### Ballot Timeframe

Committee members are provided 30 days to review and respond to the proposed content presented in this ballot. Responses received after the closure time and date will not be included in the compilation of this letter ballot. While 30 days may appear to be an extended period of time, the closure date will arrive very quickly.

#### Questions?

Questions on the content of this ballot or related to the process of responding to this ballot should be directed to David Owen – <u>davidowen@basscocaststone.com</u>; (256) 732-2228 or Jason Thompson – <u>jason@coltivomae.com</u> (571-201-3446).

#### Voting Instructions

Voting members of the TMS Cast Stone Committee are requested to vote on the attached ballot. Nonvoting (corresponding) members are invited to review the ballot and send comments.

Please follow the following guidelines when submitting votes:

- 1. Votes may be cast as follows: Affirmative, Affirmative with Comment, Negative, or Abstain.
- 2. Affirmative with Comment votes may be cast for minor editorial changes to the text.
- 3. Negative votes must be accompanied by a statement of reason and should also have suggested changes, which if adopted, would satisfy the objection.
- 4. Main Committee voting members are strongly encouraged to study all items sufficiently to cast informed votes. Abstentions, while the right of every voting member, are essentially unresolvable Negatives, and can impede the progress of ballot items.

Each ballot item is voted on independently of the others. Therefore, members may vote affirmative on one ballot item, affirmative with comment on a separate ballot item, and negative on a third ballot item – depending upon the input and feedback a Committee member may wish to convey to the Committee.

#### Note to Corresponding (Non-Voting) Committee Members

Ballots are provided to all members of the Committee. All members of the Committee are encouraged to keep apprised of Committee activities by reviewing ballots. While members of the Committee who are not voting members of the Committee may comment on ballot items, negative viewpoints are not counted in the final ballot tally and do not affect the outcome of a ballot item. In accordance with the TMS technical committee operating procedures, comments by non-voting members of the Main Committee are required be distributed to the Committee for consideration.

Ballot No.:CSC 2022-05Item No.:001Ballot Title:Editorial Revisions and Minor Clarifications

Page 1 of 3

**Technical Contacts** David Owen: (256) 732-2228; davidowen@basscocaststone.com Jason Thompson: (571) 201-3446; jason@coltivomae.com

Proposed revisions to Design, Fabrication and/or Installation Standards for Architectural Cast Stone

#### **RATIONALE:**

Several public comments notes minor and editorial clarifications in their review of the draft changes to TMS 404/504/604. These suggested improvements are proposed here. Public comments addressed by this ballot item include: 1, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 19, 21, and 26.

# **PROPOSED CHANGE:** (Only the suggested change(s) being balloted are proposed for consideration. Supplementary text included for clarity, but not proposed for modification, is not part of this ballot item.)

The designation of the reference standard 'ASCE 7' has changed to 'ASCE/SEI 7'. Revise accordingly throughout TMS 404/504/604.

Revise TMS 404 Code Section 3 as follows:

<u>ASCE/SEI ASCE</u> 7-22 – Minimum Design Loads and Associated Criteria for Buildings and Other Structures

*Revise TMS 404 Code and Commentary Section 6.2 as follows:* 

In the absence of design loads in the legally adopted building code, the load provisions of <u>ASCE/SEI ASCE</u> 7 shall be used.

If the design loads specified by the legally adopted building code differ from those of <u>ASCE/SEI</u> ASCE 7, the legally adopted building code governs.

*Revise TMS 604 Code Section 5.5 as follows for clarification:* **5.5 – Cleaner**Cleaning materials and processes shall be approved by <u>a the</u> licensed design professional.

*Revise TMS 604 Code Section 8.3 as follows for clarification:* 

### 8.3 – Cleaning and Repair

Chips and cracks in cast stone shall be repaired using materials supplied by the cast stone manufacturer. Final acceptance of the repair method shall be approved by <u>a the</u> licensed design professional or owner.

Several reference standards cited in TMS 404/504/604 have recently been updated. The following proposed references to the latest editions of currently referenced standards.

*Revise TMS 404 Code Section 3 as follows:* ASTM C426-<u>22</u><del>16</del> – Standard Test Method for Linear Drying Shrinkage of Concrete Masonry Units

*Revise TMS 504 Code Section 2 as follows:* 

ASTM A240/A240M-<u>22b</u><del>20a</del> – Standard Specification for Chromium and Chromium Nickel Stainless Steel Plate, Sheet, and Strip for Pressure Vessels and for General Applications

ASTM A480/A480M-<u>22a</u><del>20a</del> – Standard Specification for General Requirements for Flat Rolled Stainless and Heat Resisting Steel Plate, Sheet, and Strip

ASTM A615/A615M-2220 – Standard Specification for Deformed and Plain Carbon Steel Bars for Concrete Reinforcement

ASTM A653/A653M-<u>22</u><del>20</del> – Standard Specification for Steel Sheet, Zinc Coated (Galvanized) or Zinc Iron Alloy Coated (Galvannealed) by the Hot Dip Process

ASTM A706/A706M-<u>22</u>16 – Standard Specification for Low Alloy Steel Deformed and Plain Bars for Concrete Reinforcement

ASTM A775/A775M-2219 – Standard Specification for Epoxy Coated Steel Reinforcing Bars

ASTM A1008/A1008M-<u>21a</u>21 – Standard Specification for Steel, Sheet, Cold Rolled, Carbon, Structural, High Strength Low Alloy, High Strength Low Alloy with Improved Formability, Solution Hardened, and Bake Hardenable

ASTM A1064/A1064M-2218a – Standard Specification for Carbon-Steel Wire and Welded Wire Reinforcement, Plain and Deformed, for Concrete

ASTM C1364-2319 – Standard Specification for Architectural Cast Stone

ASTM D7957/D7957M-2217 – Standard Specification for Solid Round Glass Fiber Reinforced Polymer Bars for Concrete Reinforcement

*Revise TMS 404/504/6904 Commentary references as follows:* 

ACI 318, <u>2022</u>2014. ACI 318-<u>19(22)</u>14 – Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete, American Concrete Institute, Farmington Hills, MI, 2014.

ASTM C426, <u>2022</u><del>2015</del>. ASTM C426-<u>22</u><del>15e1</del>, Standard Test Method for Linear Drying Shrinkage of Concrete Masonry Units, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, www.astm.org, <u>2022</u><del>2015</del>.

ASTM C1364, <u>2023</u><del>2016</del>. ASTM C1364-<u>23</u><del>16</del>, Standard Specification for Architectural Cast Stone, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, www.astm.org, <u>2023</u><del>2016</del>.

ASTM C1384, <u>2018</u><del>2012</del>. ASTM C1384-<u>18e</u><u>112a</u> – Standard Specification for Admixtures for Masonry Mortars, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, www.astm.org, <u>2018</u><del>2012</del>.

TMS 402, <u>2022</u><del>2016</del>. TMS 402-<u>22</u><del>16</del>, Building Code Requirements for Masonry Structures, The Masonry Society, Longmont, CO, <u>2022</u><del>2016</del>.

TMS 602, <u>2022</u><del>2016</del>. TMS <u>602</u><del>402</del>-<u>22</u><del>16</del>, Specification for Masonry Structures, The Masonry Society, Longmont, CO, <u>2022</u><del>2016</del>.

### Correct typo in TMS 604 Code Section 8.2.1 as follows:

8.2.1 Setting in mortar — Cast stone units shall be wetted prior to setting in mortar. Units having face dimensions of less than 3.75 ft2 (0.35 m2) shall be laid in in bed joints and head joints that are fully...*(remainder unchanged)* 

*Revise TMS 604 Commentary Section 6.4.1 as follows for clarification:* 

6.4.1 Qualifications — The entities verifying compliance must be competent and knowledgeable of cast stone construction and the requirements of this standard. <u>Minimum Therefore, minimum</u> qualifications for those individuals must also be established by the quality assurance program in the contract documents.

Correct typo in TMS 404 Commentary Section 9.1 as follows:

Cast stone units are often manufactured with reinforcement incorporated into the product in accordance with TMS <u>504</u> 604.

Correct typo in the Abstract as follows:

TMS <u>404</u> 402 covers the design of cast stone systems and elements included in structures or assemblies. Among the subjects...*(remainder unchanged)* 

Ballot No.:CSC 2022-05Item No.:002Ballot Title:Minimum Cast Stone Thickness

Page 1 of 2

**Technical Contacts** David Owen: (256) 732-2228; davidowen@basscocaststone.com Jason Thompson: (571) 201-3446; jason@coltivomae.com

Proposed revisions to Design, Fabrication and/or Installation Standards for Architectural Cast Stone

### **RATIONALE:**

The following public comment (Comment No. 3) was received:

When sampling cubes for compression and absorption testing per ASTM C1194 and C1195, cube samples are required to have nominal dimensions of 2 inches and consist of five cut surfaces and one finished (uncut) surface. This raises a potential conflict: if the minimum thickness of cast stone is 2.0 inches, it wouldn't be possible to obtain a 2 inch cube sample with only a single finished surface if the original thickness of the cast stone unit was 2 inches. Consider whether the minimum specified thickness of 2.0 inches is appropriate given the requirements for compression and absorption testing. (Note also the corresponding commentary discussion on 2 in. thickness in TMS 504 Commentary Section 5.1.)

The commenter makes a good point on the minimum thickness for cast stone. The following proposes to revise the minimum specified thickness of cast stone in TMS 504 to 2.5 in.

# **PROPOSED CHANGE:** (Only the suggested change(s) being balloted are proposed for consideration. Supplementary text included for clarity, but not proposed for modification, is not part of this ballot item.)

#### Revise TMS 504 Code Section 5.1 as follows:

### 5.1 – Cast stone

Cast stone elements shall comply with the requirements of ASTM C1364. The maximum length of cast stone elements shall not exceed 15 multiplied by the average thickness of the element unless designed to exceed this limit. The minimum specified thickness of cast stone shall be 2.5 in. (64 mm) 2.0 in. (51 mm). Cast stone elements shall be manufactured to the following...(*remainder unchanged*)

### Revise TMS 404 Commentary Section 9.2.1 as follows:

9.2.1 The limitation on the maximum size of reinforcement permitted to be embedded within a cast stone element is a direct means of ensuring relatively large diameter reinforcing bars are not placed within relatively small cast stone units, which in turn can lead to performance or aesthetic

problems when placed in service. It is also an indirect means of providing cover and corrosion protection of the reinforcement. For a cast stone slab measuring 2.5 in. (64 mm) 2 in. (51 mm) in thickness, the maximum diameter of reinforcement permitted by this requirement would be a No. 5 (M#16) 4 (M#13) bar. Larger cover distances may be required for corrosion protection of reinforcement in accordance with Section 9.4.

Ballot No.:CSC 2022-05Item No.:003Ballot Title:Deflection Limits

Page 1 of 2

**Technical Contacts** David Owen: (256) 732-2228; davidowen@basscocaststone.com Jason Thompson: (571) 201-3446; jason@coltivomae.com

Proposed revisions to Design, Fabrication and/or Installation Standards for Architectural Cast Stone

#### **RATIONALE:**

Two comments were received related to the deflection limits stipulated by TMS 404. They were: Comment No. 2

Section 6.6 states: the out-of-plane deflection of assemblies supporting cast stone shall not exceed l/600. I am not sure whether that is a number 1/600, or lower case L/600. If the number 1, it needs to be clarified as to 1/600 of what? If lower case L, that seems a little strange as I believe it is talking about height. The loading also needs to be specified. Is this strength level wind load (1.0W), allowable stress level wind load (0.6W), or IBC serviceability level wind (0.42W)? A version of TMS 402 Section 13.3.1.2 might be considered.

Out-of-plane deflection of the backing shall be limited to hb/360 under application of 0.42 times the strength level wind load, and hb/150 under application of the strength level seismic load.

Perhaps: Out-of-plane deflection of the backing shall be limited to hb/600 under application of 0.42 times the strength level wind load.

Comment No. 27

Based on changes made to the TMS 402-2022 Veneer Chapter, should this section be modified to be a stability check and not a true deflection limit? The L/600 deflection limit may be too stringent for many projects. If no changes are made, then the title should be modified to fit the subject and be changed to "Out of-Plane Deflection". Without the change, it could also refer to support by a foundation or lintel.

Both comments raise good points relative to serviceability checks for cast stone construction. Historically, vertical and lateral deflections have been considered separately in design as both commonly encountered loads and critical limits are likely to differ for each scenario. The following series of changes propose adding two deflection limit checks to TMS 404: one for vertical deflection and one for lateral deflection. Both are based on a combination of existing criteria in the IBC and TMS 402. Additional background:

• The vertical deflection limit proposed is similar to the current 1/600 limit, but introduces what loads need to be considered when checking this deflection. Checking service-level

deflections under the maximum considered design load is generally considered to be overly conservative.

• The lateral (out-of-plane) deflection limits is similar to the suggestion by Comment No. 2, which is currently required by the IBC for most types of construction and also specifically by TMS 402 for masonry veneers. The 42% of the wind load roughly corresponds to a 10 year recurring wind event. The key difference between the IBC deflection limit and that proposed here is that the IBC limits deflections under 42% of component and cladding wind loads to *l*/240 for brittle finishes instead of the *l*/600 limit proposed here.

New notations definitions are also proposed corresponding to the new terms proposed to be added.

# **PROPOSED** CHANGE: (Only the suggested change(s) being balloted are proposed for consideration. Supplementary text included for clarity, but not proposed for modification, is not part of this ballot item.)

Revise TMS 404 Code Section 4.1 as follows: l = clear span between supports, in. (mm)s = design span of cast stone, in. (mm)

*Revise TMS 404 Code Section 6.6 as follows:* 

6.6 — Deflection limits

Unless a less stringent deflection limit is verified through engineering analysis, the out-of-plane deflection of assemblies supporting cast stone shall not exceed 1/600.

**6.6.1** Deflection of horizontally spanning support members – Horizontally spanning members supporting cast stone shall be designed so that the vertical deflection due to allowable stress level dead plus live loads does not exceed *l*/600.

**6.6.2** *Out-of-plane deflection* – The out-of-plane deflection of cast stone shall be limited to *s*/600 when subjected to 0.42 multiplied by the component and cladding wind pressure of ASCE/SEI 7.

Revise TMS 404 Commentary Section 6.6 as follows:

6.6 — Deflection limits

These deflection limits apply to any material <u>or system providing out-of-plane</u> support <u>to the cast</u> <u>stone element or system of cast stone</u>. The deflection <u>limits limit</u> of l/600 <u>and s/600 are is</u> intended to prevent visible deflections and serviceability problems under short-term loading conditions. When considering deflections resulting from sustained loads, a more stringent deflection limit may be warranted.

Ballot No.:CSC 2022-05Item No.:004Ballot Title:Shrinkage Commentary

Page 1 of 1

**Technical Contacts** David Owen: (256) 732-2228; davidowen@basscocaststone.com Jason Thompson: (571) 201-3446; jason@coltivomae.com

Proposed revisions to Design, Fabrication and/or Installation Standards for Architectural Cast Stone

#### **RATIONALE:**

Public Comment No. 4 suggested providing more guidance on the commentary reference to 'too soon' in reference to the time following cast stone production and its installation.

**PROPOSED CHANGE:** (Only the suggested change(s) being balloted are proposed for consideration. Supplementary text included for clarity, but not proposed for modification, is not part of this ballot item.)

### Revise TMS 404 Commentary Section 7.4 as follows:

#### 7.4 - Coefficient of shrinkage

For design, the coefficient of shrinkage is assumed to be one-half of the maximum linear drying shrinkage determined in accordance with ASTM C426, which is consistent with similar assumptions for concrete masonry construction (TMS 402, 2016). ASTM C426 (2016) requires that linear drying shrinkage be measured and reported, but does not stipulate a maximum linear drying shrinkage value.

Not all cast stone exhibits the same shrinkage characteristics in response to changes in moisture content or curing. Drying shrinkage, as measured by ASTM C426, is significantly influenced by the type of aggregate used in production, the relative amount of cement used in the mix design, and the method(s) of curing prior to delivery. Likewise, not all applications incorporating cast stone systems require the same considerations when mitigating cracking due to shrinkage. For example, setting cast stone in mortar versus dry-setting cast stone reflects two very different systems where crack control strategies would differ. This reflects the wide array of applications in which cast stone systems are used, including mortared and non-mortared systems, each of which has different considerations with respect to crack mitigation strategies due to shrinkage. Where shrinkage is a design consideration, care should be taken to verify units are not installed when too soon following production, during which time volume loss due to drying and cement hydration is the largest. This window of time may be in the first couple weeks following production, but may also extend to a month or more depending on the material properties, production and curing methods, and environmental conditions.

Ballot No.:CSC 2022-05Item No.:005Ballot Title:Shop Drawings

Page 1 of 1

**Technical Contacts** David Owen: (256) 732-2228; davidowen@basscocaststone.com Jason Thompson: (571) 201-3446; jason@coltivomae.com

Proposed revisions to Design, Fabrication and/or Installation Standards for Architectural Cast Stone

#### **RATIONALE:**

Public Comment No. 5 suggested expanding on the list of items to include in the shop drawings. Recognizing no list could ever be all-inclusive, a new item is proposed to include the finished layout of the cast stone assembly. Also, the phrase 'where applicable' is proposed to be deleted from Item (f) as this isn't necessary and is redundant with the charging language stipulating to 'show all applicable and required information'.

# **PROPOSED CHANGE:** (Only the suggested change(s) being balloted are proposed for consideration. Supplementary text included for clarity, but not proposed for modification, is not part of this ballot item.)

Revise TMS 504 Code Section 4 as follows:

#### **Section 4 – Shop Drawings**

The shop drawings shall show all applicable and required information including:

a) Name of manufacturer.

b) Details of anchorage of cast stone to structural members, frames, and other construction, including the type, size, and location of connectors.

c) Identification of each cast stone unit.

d) Location of reinforcement in the cast stone element.

e) Unit dimensions, including copes, cuts, and openings.

f) Unit color and architectural finish, where applicable.

g) The layout of the finished construction including unit placement and joint locations.

Ballot No.:CSC 2022-05Item No.:006Ballot Title:Cast Stone Damage Commentary

Page 1 of

**Technical Contacts** David Owen: (256) 732-2228; davidowen@basscocaststone.com Jason Thompson: (571) 201-3446; jason@coltivomae.com

Proposed revisions to Design, Fabrication and/or Installation Standards for Architectural Cast Stone

#### **RATIONALE:**

Public Comment No. 6 suggested adding allowance for minor damage to the delivery requirements of TMS 504.

# **PROPOSED CHANGE:** (Only the suggested change(s) being balloted are proposed for consideration. Supplementary text included for clarity, but not proposed for modification, is not part of this ballot item.)

No change proposed to Section 6 of TMS 504 – provided for reference only.

#### Section 6 – Delivery

Cast stone units shall be marked as shown on the shop drawings and shall be packaged to protect them from staining and damage during shipping and storage.

Revise TMS 504 Commentary Section 6 as follows:

### Section 6 – Delivery

No commentary.

Despite great care, some damage to individual cast stone units may occur during production, transport, or installation. ASTM 1364, of which is standard requires compliance to, includes the following provision:

8.2 Minor chipping resulting from shipment and delivery shall not be grounds for rejection. Minor chipping shall not be obvious under direct daylight illumination from a 20-ft (6-m) distance.

Where minor damage does occur to cast stone, TMS 604 Commentary Section 8.3 provides guidance on repair options.

Ballot No.:CSC 2022-05Item No.:007Ballot Title:QC Sampling

Page 1 of 2

**Technical Contacts** David Owen: (256) 732-2228; davidowen@basscocaststone.com Jason Thompson: (571) 201-3446; jason@coltivomae.com

Proposed revisions to Design, Fabrication and/or Installation Standards for Architectural Cast Stone

#### **RATIONALE:**

Public Comment No. 7 questioned whether the sampling requirements for verifying the compressive strength and absorption of cast stone should be triggered in TMS 604 (installation) or TMS 504 (fabrication).

Technically, all cast stone sold as complying with the requirements of ASTM C1364 has already been sampled and tested for compressive strength and absorption for every 500 ft<sup>3</sup> of production as this is a requirement of ASTM C1364. Recognizing that not all cast stone producers may be as judicious in their implementation of quality control practices, coupled with a designer's or owner's desire to conduct testing on product at the time of delivery, maintaining these provisions in TMS 604 is appropriate.

Given that sampling and testing at the plant should already be taking place, the commentary to Section 6.2 provides the designer or owner the option of using these quality control records 'to supplement or replace field testing'. As commentary, however, this option is at their discretion. Using plant QC documentation may be appropriate for small jobs using stock cast stone products but less so for large projects where custom cast stone products are delivered over an extended period of time.

The following proposed modifications do not propose and modifications to the requirements of Section 6.2, but do expand on this discussion in the commentary.

# **PROPOSED CHANGE:** (Only the suggested change(s) being balloted are proposed for consideration. Supplementary text included for clarity, but not proposed for modification, is not part of this ballot item.)

## No change proposed to Section 6.2 of TMS 604 – provided for reference only.

### 6.2 – Cast stone

For each 500 ft<sup>3</sup> (14.2 m<sup>3</sup>) of cast stone product, or fraction thereof, sample three units and test in accordance with ASTM C1194 for compressive strength and ASTM C1195 for absorption. At least one sample consisting of three units shall be obtained for each mix design supplied to a project.

### Revise TMS 604 Commentary Section 6.2 as follows:

### 6.2 – Cast stone

Samples for compression and absorption testing may be selected by the purchaser or the purchaser's authorized representative after delivery; or alternatively, samples may be obtained from the manufacturer prior to delivery. <u>ASTM C1364 requires sampling for measuring compressive strength and absorption every 500 ft<sup>3</sup> (14.2 m<sup>3</sup>) of product. As such, quality control documentation may already be available for reference. At the purchaser's discretion, It is common practice for cast stone manufacturers to test their mix designs and products at regular intervals. When such documentation is available, it is acceptable to reference such quality control documentation may be appropriate for small jobs using stock cast stone products, but less applicable for large projects where custom cast stone products are delivered over an extended period of time.</u>

Ballot No.:CSC 2022-05Item No.:008Ballot Title:Sample Panel Commentary

Page 1 of 1

**Technical Contacts** David Owen: (256) 732-2228; davidowen@basscocaststone.com Jason Thompson: (571) 201-3446; jason@coltivomae.com

Proposed revisions to Design, Fabrication and/or Installation Standards for Architectural Cast Stone

#### **RATIONALE:**

Public Comment No. 8 suggested adding to the commentary on sample panels to provide more guidance, particularly for more complex cast stone applications. The modifications proposed here offer supplemental information on sample panel details.

# **PROPOSED CHANGE:** (Only the suggested change(s) being balloted are proposed for consideration. Supplementary text included for clarity, but not proposed for modification, is not part of this ballot item.)

### *No change proposed to Section 6.3 of TMS 604 – provided for reference only.*

### 6.3 – Sample panels

Using approved materials and procedures, construct a sample panel having minimum dimensions of 4 ft by 4 ft (1.22 m by 1.22 m). The acceptable standard for the work is established by the accepted panel. Retain sample panel at the project site until cast stone work is installed and accepted.

### Revise TMS 604 Commentary Section 6.3 as follows:

#### 6.3 – Sample panels

The sample panels are permitted to be a predefined segment of the cast stone construction or a separate stand-alone panel. <u>Cast stone installations can vary from routine to highly customized</u> and complex. As such, the scale and detail of the sample panel should vary depending on the needs of a project. Consideration should be given to capturing the installation of different cast stone unit sizes and shapes as well as unique construction details around openings or at material transitions. Where post-construction processes are used on the finished cast stone assembly, such as cleaning or applying a post-applied coating, these process should also be used on the sample panel to assess their impacts on the aesthetics of the construction.

Ballot No.:CSC 2022-05Item No.:009Ballot Title:Tooling Mortar Joints

Page 1 of 1

**Technical Contacts** David Owen: (256) 732-2228; davidowen@basscocaststone.com Jason Thompson: (571) 201-3446; jason@coltivomae.com

Proposed revisions to Design, Fabrication and/or Installation Standards for Architectural Cast Stone

#### **RATIONALE:**

Public Comments No. 14 and 29 suggested clarifying improvements to the mortar joint finishing requirements of TMS 604. These modifications incorporate corrections to the use of the terms 'pointing', which is a process of adding mortar to the joint, and 'tooling', which is the process of providing the finished mortar join profile.

# **PROPOSED CHANGE:** (Only the suggested change(s) being balloted are proposed for consideration. Supplementary text included for clarity, but not proposed for modification, is not part of this ballot item.)

#### *Revise TMS 604 Code Section 8.2.1 as follows:*

8.2.1 Setting in mortar — Cast stone units shall be wetted prior to setting in mortar. Units having face dimensions of less than 3.75 ft2 (0.35 m2) shall be laid in in bed joints and head joints that are fully mortared or shall be dry-set in accordance with Section 8.2.2. Dowel holes and anchor slots shall be completely filled with mortar or non-shrink grout. Specified mortar joint thickness shall not exceed 3/8 in. (9.5 mm). After placing cast stone units, the mortar shall be raked back not less than 3/4 in. (19 mm) while the mortar is still plastic. Joints shall be pointed to the finished surface, and unless specified otherwise, shall be tooled to a concave profile. Unless specified otherwise, joints shall be tuck-pointed to a concave profile.

Ballot No.:CSC 2022-05Item No.:010Ballot Title:Section Properties Clarification

Page 1 of 1

**Technical Contacts** David Owen: (256) 732-2228; davidowen@basscocaststone.com Jason Thompson: (571) 201-3446; jason@coltivomae.com

Proposed revisions to Design, Fabrication and/or Installation Standards for Architectural Cast Stone

#### **RATIONALE:**

Public Comment No. 16 suggested using the term 'properties' instead of 'area' in discussing the radius of gyration. The use of the term 'properties' is more generic and consistent with general reference to 'section properties'.

# **PROPOSED CHANGE:** (Only the suggested change(s) being balloted are proposed for consideration. Supplementary text included for clarity, but not proposed for modification, is not part of this ballot item.)

Revise TMS 404 Code Section 8.3 as follows:

#### 8.3 – Radius of gyration

Radius of gyration shall be computed using average net cross-sectional <u>properties area</u> of the member considered.

#### Revise TMS 404 Commentary Section 8.3 as follows:

#### 8.3 - Radius of gyration

The radius of gyration is the square root of the ratio of bending moment of inertia to crosssectional area. Because stiffness is based on the average net cross-sectional <u>properties (cross-</u> <u>sectional area and moment of inertia)</u> area of the member considered, the same <u>properties area</u> should be used in the computation of radius of gyration. The radius of gyration...*(remainder unchanged)* 

Ballot No.:CSC 2022-05Item No.:011Ballot Title:Service vs. Design Loading

Page 1 of 1

**Technical Contacts** David Owen: (256) 732-2228; davidowen@basscocaststone.com Jason Thompson: (571) 201-3446; jason@coltivomae.com

Proposed revisions to Design, Fabrication and/or Installation Standards for Architectural Cast Stone

#### **RATIONALE:**

Historically, there have been allowable stress level loads and strength level loads used in design depending on the design methodology a user opted to employ. The term 'allowable stress design' was often used interchangeably with 'service loading' and 'strength design' used synonymously with 'factored loading'.

Public Comment No. 17 correctly noted that ASCE 7 has recently changed loading terms and introduced a term for 'service loading' to address serviceability limits (e.g., deflections) that are different than full design loads. To avoid confusion and ambiguity, these terms are updated in TMS 404 as well. No technical change is implied.

# **PROPOSED CHANGE:** (Only the suggested change(s) being balloted are proposed for consideration. Supplementary text included for clarity, but not proposed for modification, is not part of this ballot item.)

# *Revise TMS 404 Code Section 8.3 as follows:*

**8.2 – Stiffness** Computation of stiffness of reinforced cast stone assemblies shall first be checked on an uncracked section analysis under <u>allowable stress level</u> service loads, then checked on a

uncracked section analysis under <u>allowable stress level</u> service loads, then checked on a cracked section analysis under <u>strength level</u> factored nominal loads. Computation of stiffness of unreinforced cast stone assemblies shall be based on an uncracked section analysis.

Ballot No.:CSC 2022-05Item No.:012Ballot Title:Adding ASTM F1554 Anchor Bolts

Page 1 of 1

**Technical Contacts** David Owen: (256) 732-2228; davidowen@basscocaststone.com Jason Thompson: (571) 201-3446; jason@coltivomae.com

Proposed revisions to Design, Fabrication and/or Installation Standards for Architectural Cast Stone

#### **RATIONALE:**

Public Comment No. 18 suggested adding a permitted option of using ASTM F1554 anchor bolts. The use of anchors meeting the requirements is becoming more commonplace and is considered appropriate for cast stone applications as these anchors are commonly used for masonry and concrete installations.

# **PROPOSED** CHANGE: (Only the suggested change(s) being balloted are proposed for consideration. Supplementary text included for clarity, but not proposed for modification, is not part of this ballot item.)

*Revise TMS 504 Code Section 8.3 as follows:* 

5.5 — Anchors, ties, and accessories

Anchors, ties, and accessories shall conform to the following specifications:

a) Plate and bent-bar anchors: ASTM A36/A 36M or ASTM F1554

b) Sheet-metal anchors and ties: ASTM A1008/A1008M

c) Wire ties and anchors: ASTM C1064/C1064M

d) Headed anchor bolts: ASTM A307, Grade A or ASTM F1554

Add the following to TMS 504 Code Section 2:

Section 2 – Cited Standards ASTM F1554-20 – Standard Specification for Anchor Bolts, Steel, 36, 55, and 105 ksi Yield

Strength

Ballot No.:CSC 2022-05Item No.:013Ballot Title:Type 302 Stainless Steel

Page 1 of 1

**Technical Contacts** David Owen: (256) 732-2228; davidowen@basscocaststone.com Jason Thompson: (571) 201-3446; jason@coltivomae.com

Proposed revisions to Design, Fabrication and/or Installation Standards for Architectural Cast Stone

#### **RATIONALE:**

Public Comment No. 22 suggested adding Type 302 stainless steel to the list of permitted options. This ballot item proposes such modification.

# **PROPOSED CHANGE:** (Only the suggested change(s) being balloted are proposed for consideration. Supplementary text included for clarity, but not proposed for modification, is not part of this ballot item.)

*Revise TMS 504 Code Section 8.3 as follows:* 5.6 — Stainless steel Stainless steel items shall be AISI <u>Type 302, Type 304, Type 304</u> or Type 316, and shall conform to the following...*(remainder unchanged)* 

Ballot No.:CSC 2022-05Item No.:014Ballot Title:Wire Embedment

Page 1 of 1

**Technical Contacts** David Owen: (256) 732-2228; davidowen@basscocaststone.com Jason Thompson: (571) 201-3446; jason@coltivomae.com

Proposed revisions to Design, Fabrication and/or Installation Standards for Architectural Cast Stone

#### **RATIONALE:**

Public Comment No. 24 noted that there is some confusion in the requirements of TMS 404 Section 9.4.2 in reference to embedding wire in 'mortar, grout or cast stone' implies the requirement is production related as embedment of wire in cast stone can only be accomplished at the manufacturing facility.

To avoid confusing, the individual references to different materials are proposed here to be replaced by the more generic term 'cementitious material', which could include any cement-based product permitted by TMS 504.

# **PROPOSED CHANGE:** (Only the suggested change(s) being balloted are proposed for consideration. Supplementary text included for clarity, but not proposed for modification, is not part of this ballot item.)

#### *Revise TMS 404 Code Section 9.4.1 as follows:*

**9.4.2** Wire joint reinforcement shall be fully embedded in <u>cementitious material mortar</u>, grout or cast stone with a minimum cover of 5/8 in. (15.9 mm) when exposed to earth or weather and 1/2 in. (12.7 mm) when not...(remainder unchanged)

Ballot No.:CSC 2022-05Item No.:015Ballot Title:Wetting of Cast Stone

Page 1 of 1

**Technical Contacts** David Owen: (256) 732-2228; davidowen@basscocaststone.com Jason Thompson: (571) 201-3446; jason@coltivomae.com

Proposed revisions to Design, Fabrication and/or Installation Standards for Architectural Cast Stone

#### **RATIONALE:**

Public Comment No. 28 noted that universally requiring cast stone to be wetted prior to setting in mortar may not always be necessary or preferred. This ballot item proposes to add the phrase 'unless specified otherwise' to provide the option of wetting units or not prior to installation.

# **PROPOSED CHANGE:** (Only the suggested change(s) being balloted are proposed for consideration. Supplementary text included for clarity, but not proposed for modification, is not part of this ballot item.)

#### *Revise TMS 604 Code Section 8.2.1 as follows:*

8.2.1 Setting in mortar — <u>Unless specified otherwise, cast Cast</u> stone units shall be wetted prior to setting in mortar. Units having face dimensions of less than...*(remainder unchanged)* 

Ballot No.:CSC 2022-05Item No.:016Ballot Title:Raking and Pointing

Page 1 of 1

**Technical Contacts** David Owen: (256) 732-2228; davidowen@basscocaststone.com Jason Thompson: (571) 201-3446; jason@coltivomae.com

Proposed revisions to Design, Fabrication and/or Installation Standards for Architectural Cast Stone

#### **RATIONALE:**

Public Comment No. 30 recommended providing the option of not raking and pointing mortar joints, which may be appropriate when small brick-size cast stone units are being installed. This ballot item proposes such options.

# **PROPOSED CHANGE:** (Only the suggested change(s) being balloted are proposed for consideration. Supplementary text included for clarity, but not proposed for modification, is not part of this ballot item.)

#### *Revise TMS 604 Code Section 8.2.1 as follows:*

8.2.1 Setting in mortar — Cast stone units shall be wetted prior to setting in mortar. Units having face dimensions of less than 3.75 ft2 (0.35 m2) shall be laid in in bed joints and head joints that are fully mortared or shall be dry-set in accordance with Section 8.2.2. Dowel holes and anchor slots shall be completely filled with mortar or non-shrink grout. Specified mortar joint thickness shall not exceed 3/8 in. (9.5 mm). <u>Unless specified otherwise, after After placing cast stone units</u>, the mortar shall be raked back not less than 3/4 in. (19 mm) while the mortar is still plastic. Unless specified otherwise, joints shall be tuck-pointed to a concave profile.



#### MEMORANDUM

Date: March 24, 2023

To: TMS Cast Stone Committee

CC: Phil Samblanet

From: Jason Thompson

#### Re: TMS CAST STONE COMMITTEE BALLOT RESULTS Ballot Number: CSC 2022-05

Ballot Topic: Proposed Revisions from Public Comments – Cast Stone Design Standards 404/504/604

Please find attached the report on the subject ballot along with comments received. When this ballot opened, the Cast Stone Committee had 17 voting members, 9 of which returned on-time responses. TMS Rules require affirmative votes from at least one-half of all eligible voters and affirmative votes from two-thirds of the affirmative and negative votes cast (Technical Committee Operations Manual Section 4.2.4). Based on these criteria, all ballot items passed with the exception of Items 3, 4, and 11.

Comments received with "Negative" and "Affirmative with Comment" votes are enclosed for your review and resolution. Negative votes must be resolved in accordance with Section 4.2.7 of the Technical Committee Operations Manual. If substantive changes are made based on any of the comments received, the change must be letter balloted through the Committee. Editorial changes can be made provided the rules of Section 4.3 of the Technical Committee Operating Manual are followed. Affirmative with comment votes from voting members of the Committee do not prevent the ballot items from proceeding, but the views and suggestions expressed in these comments must be considered by the Committee.

Voting members of the Cast Stone Committee not returning responses to 2022-04 are:

Chris Dawson	James Brown	Katie Hatfield
Garret Diduck	Matt Farmer	Sara O'Neil-Manion
Jerry Painter	Ted Echols	

Voting members of the Cast Stone Committee not returning on the last five letter ballots are:Chris DawsonTed EcholsGarret DiduckSara O'Neil-Manion

Attached are all comments received on the ballot items. In addition to the regular voting membership of the Committee, comments may have been received from non-voting members. In accordance with TMS balloting procedures, the viewpoints expressed by non-voting members of the Committee are not counted in the final ballot tally but must be distributed to the Committee for consideration. Therefore, any comments received from non-voting individuals are included within this package. Comments received with "Affirmative with Comment" votes are enclosed for your review and resolution, as you deem appropriate. Comments received with "Negative" votes must be resolved unless they pertain solely to finding a person persuasive/nonpersuasive.

Attachments: Ballot Summary Report Comments Received with Ballot

## Show Closed Ballot Detail

### **Committee: Cast Stone Standards**

#### Ballot: CSC 2022-05

ltem Number	Sub-Item Number	Description	Pass/Fail	Affirmative	Affirmative With Comment	Negative	Abstain	Comments	Total Voting Members	Totals
2022-05- 001		Editorial Revisions and Minor Clarifications	Pass	8	1	0	0	1	17	1
2022-05- 002		Minimum Cast Stone Thickness	Pass	9	0	0	0	0	17	1
2022-05- 003		Deflection Limits	Fail	8	0	1	0	1	17	1
2022-05- 004		Shrinkage Commentary	Fail	8	0	0	0	0	17	1
2022-05- 005		Shop Drawings	Pass	9	0	0	0	0	17	1
2022-05- 006		Cast Stone Damage Commentary	Pass	8	1	0	0	1	17	1
2022-05- 007		QC Sampling	Pass	8	1	0	0	1	17	1
2022-05- 008		Sample Panel Commentary	Pass	9	0	0	0	0	17	1
2022-05- 009		Tooling Mortar Joints	Pass	9	0	0	0	0	17	1
2022-05- 010		Section Properties Clarification	Pass	9	0	0	0	0	17	1
2022-05- 011		Service vs. Design Loading	Fail	8	0	0	1	0	17	1
2022-05- 012		Adding ASTM F1554 Anchor Bolts	Pass	9	0	0	0	0	17	1
2022-05- 013		Type 302 Stainless Steel	Pass	9	0	0	0	0	17	1
2022-05- 014		Wire Embedment	Pass	9	0	0	0	0	17	1
2022-05- 015		Wetting of Cast Stone	Pass	9	0	0	0	0	17	1
2022-05- 016		Raking and Pointing	Pass	8	1	0	0	1	17	1
									Totals	16

### **Closed Ballot Detail - Comment Resolution Table**

ltem Number	Comment Type	Commenter	Unrelated	Withdrawn	Pers Editorial	Pers Substantive	Non- Persuasive	Action to Resolve Comment Negative	Vote Record	Totals
2022- 05-001	Affirmative With Comment	Ms. Christine A. Subasic csubasicpe@gmail.com								1
2022- 05-003	Negative	Mr. Kenneth Lee Bownds kbownds@cdc-usa.com								1
2022- 05-006	Affirmative With Comment	Ms. Christine A. Subasic csubasicpe@gmail.com								1
2022- 05-007	Affirmative With Comment	Ms. Christine A. Subasic csubasicpe@gmail.com								1
2022- 05-011	Abstain	Mr. Ron LaRicci rlaricci@camaratamasonry.com								1

ltem Number	Comment Type	Commenter	Unrelated	Withdrawn	Pers Editorial	Pers Substantive	Non- Persuasive	Action to Resolve Comment Negative	Vote Record	Totals
2022- 05-016	Affirmative With Comment	Mr. David B. Woodham dwoodham@ana-usa.com								1
									Totals	6

### **Closed Ballot Detail - Comment Table**

ltem Number	Comment Type	Commenter	Comment	Comment File	Totals
2022- 05-001	Affirmative With Comment	Ms. Christine A. Subasic csubasicpe@gmail.com	In 8.2.1, I assume the '2's (for squared) are superscript and just not in this ballot, but if not, please correct that as well.		1
2022- 05-003	Negative	Mr. Kenneth Lee Bownds kbownds@cdc- usa.com	I do not agree with the 42% wind load especially when deflection in the wall causes rotation in the stone clips which pry on the stone edges causing kerf failures. I would prefer to see the ASD wind load used in the deflection checks. That in itself is a 40% reduction from the ultimate wind pressures.		1
2022- 05-006	Affirmative With Comment	Ms. Christine A. Subasic csubasicpe@gmail.com	See editorial changes below: Despite great care, some damage to individual cast stone units may occur during production, transport, or installation. ASTM 1364, <del>of which is this</del> standard requires compliance to, includes the following provision: Where minor damage does occur <del>to east stone</del> , TMS 604 Commentary Section 8.3 provides		1
2022- 05-007	Affirmative With Comment	Ms. Christine A. Subasic csubasicpe@gmail.com	guidance on repair options. see editorial changes below: Using plant quality control documentation may be appropriate for small jobs using stock cast stone products, but less <del>applicable <u>appropriate</u> for large projects where custom cast stone products are delivered over an extendedperiod of time.</del>		1
2022- 05-016	Affirmative With Comment	Mr. David B. Woodham dwoodham@ana- usa.com	I suggest merging the changes to Code Section 8.2.1 from Items 9 and 16 The last two sentences would then be: <u>Unless specified otherwise, after After placing cast stone units, the mortar shall be</u> raked back not less than 3/4 in. (19 mm) while the mortar is still plastic. <u>Joints shall be</u> pointed to the finished surface, and unless specified otherwise, shall be tooled to a <u>concave profile</u> . <del>Unless specified otherwise, joints shall be tuck pointed to a concave</del> profile.		1
				Totals	5

### Letter Ballot CSC 2022-06 Design and Construction Standards for Cast Stone The Masonry Society's Cast Stone Committee

#### Ballot Issue Date: April 5, 2023 Ballot Closure Date: May 5, 2023

#### **Information and Instructions to Committee Members**

Letter ballot CSC 2020-06 includes proposes responses to public comments received during the public review of TMS 404/504/604. The 45 day public review period closed on January 30, 2023. Letter Ballot 2022-05 included a series of changes, several of which the Committee opted to incorporate additional changes to during their March 27, 2023 virtual meeting. In accordance with the Technical Committee Operating Manual (TCOM) of TMS, all public comments must be reviewed and responded to by the Committee. The Committee may choose to proposed changes in response to one or more public comments, as is being proposed by this letter ballot.

#### Ballot Timeframe

Committee members are provided 30 days to review and respond to the proposed content presented in this ballot. Responses received after the closure time and date will not be included in the compilation of this letter ballot. While 30 days may appear to be an extended period of time, the closure date will arrive very quickly.

#### Questions?

Questions on the content of this ballot or related to the process of responding to this ballot should be directed to David Owen – <u>davidowen@basscocaststone.com</u>; (256) 732-2228 or Jason Thompson – <u>jason@coltivomae.com</u> (571-201-3446).

#### Voting Instructions

Voting members of the TMS Cast Stone Committee are requested to vote on the attached ballot. Non-voting (corresponding) members are invited to review the ballot and send comments.

Please follow the following guidelines when submitting votes:

- 1. Votes may be cast as follows: Affirmative, Affirmative with Comment, Negative, or Abstain.
- 2. Affirmative with Comment votes may be cast for minor editorial changes to the text.
- 3. Negative votes must be accompanied by a statement of reason and should also have suggested changes, which if adopted, would satisfy the objection.
- 4. Main Committee voting members are strongly encouraged to study all items sufficiently to cast informed votes. Abstentions, while the right of every voting member, are essentially unresolvable Negatives, and can impede the progress of ballot items.

Each ballot item is voted on independently of the others. Therefore, members may vote affirmative on one ballot item, affirmative with comment on a separate ballot item, and negative on a third ballot item – depending upon the input and feedback a Committee member may wish to convey to the Committee.

#### Note to Corresponding (Non-Voting) Committee Members

Ballots are provided to all members of the Committee. All members of the Committee are encouraged to keep apprised of Committee activities by reviewing ballots. While members of the Committee who are not voting members of the Committee may comment on ballot items, negative viewpoints are not counted in the final ballot tally and do not affect the outcome of a ballot item. In accordance with the TMS technical committee operating procedures, comments by non-voting members of the Main Committee are required be distributed to the Committee for consideration.

Ballot No.:CSC 2022-06Item No.:001Ballot Title:Deflection Limits

Page 1 of 2

**Technical Contacts** David Owen: (256) 732-2228; davidowen@basscocaststone.com Jason Thompson: (571) 201-3446; jason@coltivomae.com

Proposed revisions to Design, Fabrication and/or Installation Standards for Architectural Cast Stone

#### **RATIONALE:**

Two comments were received related to the deflection limits stipulated by TMS 404. They were: Comment No. 2

Section 6.6 states: the out-of-plane deflection of assemblies supporting cast stone shall not exceed l/600. I am not sure whether that is a number 1/600, or lower case L/600. If the number 1, it needs to be clarified as to 1/600 of what? If lower case L, that seems a little strange as I believe it is talking about height. The loading also needs to be specified. Is this strength level wind load (1.0W), allowable stress level wind load (0.6W), or IBC serviceability level wind (0.42W)? A version of TMS 402 Section 13.3.1.2 might be considered.

Out-of-plane deflection of the backing shall be limited to hb/360 under application of 0.42 times the strength level wind load, and hb/150 under application of the strength level seismic load.

Perhaps: Out-of-plane deflection of the backing shall be limited to hb/600 under application of 0.42 times the strength level wind load.

Comment No. 27

Based on changes made to the TMS 402-2022 Veneer Chapter, should this section be modified to be a stability check and not a true deflection limit? The L/600 deflection limit may be too stringent for many projects. If no changes are made, then the title should be modified to fit the subject and be changed to "Out of-Plane Deflection". Without the change, it could also refer to support by a foundation or lintel.

Both comments raise good points relative to serviceability checks for cast stone construction. Historically, vertical and lateral deflections have been considered separately in design as both commonly encountered loads and critical limits are likely to differ for each scenario. The following series of changes propose adding two deflection limit checks to TMS 404: one for vertical deflection and one for lateral deflection. These criteria were discussed at length during the March 27, 2023 Committee meeting. The out-of-plane deflection limits include criterion for both the individual stones as well as the supporting backing.

New notations definitions are also proposed corresponding to the new terms proposed to be added.

# **PROPOSED CHANGE:** (Only the suggested change(s) being balloted are proposed for consideration. Supplementary text included for clarity, but not proposed for modification, is not part of this ballot item.)

Revise TMS 404 Code Section 4.1 as follows: l = clear span of structural support, in. (mm)s = design span of individual cast stone units, in. (mm)

*Revise TMS 404 Code Section 6.6 as follows:* 

6.6 — Deflection limits

Unless a less stringent deflection limit is verified through engineering analysis, the out-of-plane deflection of assemblies supporting cast stone shall not exceed 1/600.

**6.6.1** Deflection of horizontally spanning support members – Horizontally spanning members supporting cast stone shall be designed so that the vertical deflection due to allowable stress level dead plus live loads does not exceed *l*/600.

**6.6.2** *Out-of-plane deflection* – The out-of-plane deflection due to allowable stress level component and cladding wind pressure determined in accordance with ASCE/SEI 7 shall be limited to s/600 for individual cast stone units and *l*/360 for the cast stone support system.

Revise TMS 404 Commentary Section 6.6 as follows:

6.6 — Deflection limits

These deflection limits apply to any material <u>or system</u> providing <del>out of plane</del> support <u>to the cast</u> <u>stone element or system of cast stone</u>. The deflection <u>limits limit are is</u> intended to prevent visible deflections and serviceability problems under short-term loading conditions. When considering deflections resulting from sustained loads, a more stringent deflection limit may be warranted. Some anchorage and support mechanisms may require a check on stiffness compatibility between the cast stone and its support system.

Ballot No.:CSC 2022-05Item No.:002Ballot Title:Shrinkage Commentary

Page 1 of 1

**Technical Contacts** David Owen: (256) 732-2228; davidowen@basscocaststone.com Jason Thompson: (571) 201-3446; jason@coltivomae.com

Proposed revisions to Design, Fabrication and/or Installation Standards for Architectural Cast Stone

#### **RATIONALE:**

Public Comment No. 4 suggested providing more guidance on the commentary reference to 'too soon' in reference to the time following cast stone production and its installation.

**PROPOSED CHANGE:** (Only the suggested change(s) being balloted are proposed for consideration. Supplementary text included for clarity, but not proposed for modification, is not part of this ballot item.)

### Revise TMS 404 Commentary Section 7.4 as follows:

#### 7.4 - Coefficient of shrinkage

For design, the coefficient of shrinkage is assumed to be one-half of the maximum linear drying shrinkage determined in accordance with ASTM C426, which is consistent with similar assumptions for concrete masonry construction (TMS 402, 2016). ASTM C426 (2016) requires that linear drying shrinkage be measured and reported, but does not stipulate a maximum linear drying shrinkage value.

Not all cast stone exhibits the same shrinkage characteristics in response to changes in moisture content or curing. Drying shrinkage, as measured by ASTM C426, is significantly influenced by the type of aggregate used in production, the relative amount of cement used in the mix design, and the method(s) of curing prior to delivery. Likewise, not all applications incorporating cast stone systems require the same considerations when mitigating cracking due to shrinkage. For example, setting cast stone in mortar versus dry-setting cast stone reflects two very different systems where crack control strategies would differ. This reflects the wide array of applications in which cast stone systems are used, including mortared and non-mortared systems, each of which has different considerations with respect to crack mitigation strategies due to shrinkage. Where shrinkage is a design consideration, care should be taken to verify units are not installed when too soon following production, during which time volume loss due to drying and cement hydration is the largest. This window of time may be in the first couple weeks following production, but may also extend to a month or more depending on the material properties, production and curing methods, and environmental conditions.

Ballot No.:CSC 2022-05Item No.:003Ballot Title:Cast Stone Damage Commentary

Page 1 of 1

**Technical Contacts** David Owen: (256) 732-2228; davidowen@basscocaststone.com Jason Thompson: (571) 201-3446; jason@coltivomae.com

Proposed revisions to Design, Fabrication and/or Installation Standards for Architectural Cast Stone

#### **RATIONALE:**

An affirmative with comment was received on 2022-05 suggested language improvements to the commentary to TMS 504 Section 6. Those proposed revisions are included here.

# **PROPOSED CHANGE:** (Only the suggested change(s) being balloted are proposed for consideration. Supplementary text included for clarity, but not proposed for modification, is not part of this ballot item.)

Revise TMS 504 Commentary Section 6 as follows:

#### Section 6 – Delivery

Despite great care, some damage to individual cast stone units may occur during production, transport, or installation. ASTM 1364, of which this is standard requires compliance to, includes the following provision:

8.2 Minor chipping resulting from shipment and delivery shall not be grounds for rejection. Minor chipping shall not be obvious under direct daylight illumination from a 20-ft (6-m) distance.

Where minor damage does occur to cast stone, TMS 604 Commentary Section 8.3 provides guidance on repair options.

Ballot No.:CSC 2022-05Item No.:004Ballot Title:QC Sampling Commentary Clarification

Page 1 of 1

**Technical Contacts** David Owen: (256) 732-2228; davidowen@basscocaststone.com Jason Thompson: (571) 201-3446; jason@coltivomae.com

Proposed revisions to Design, Fabrication and/or Installation Standards for Architectural Cast Stone

#### **RATIONALE:**

An affirmative with comment was received on 2022-05 suggested language improvements to the commentary to TMS 604 Section 6.2. Those proposed revisions are included here.

# **PROPOSED CHANGE:** (Only the suggested change(s) being balloted are proposed for consideration. Supplementary text included for clarity, but not proposed for modification, is not part of this ballot item.)

#### Revise TMS 604 Commentary Section 6.2 as follows:

#### 6.2 – Cast stone

Samples for compression and absorption testing may be selected by the purchaser or the purchaser's authorized representative after delivery; or alternatively, samples may be obtained from the manufacturer prior to delivery. ASTM C1364 requires sampling for measuring compressive strength and absorption every 500 ft<sup>3</sup> (14.2 m<sup>3</sup>) of product. As such, quality control documentation may already be available for reference. At the purchaser's discretion, it is acceptable to reference such quality control test reports to supplement or replace field testing of products. Using plant quality control documentation may be appropriate for small jobs using stock cast stone products, but less applicable appropriate for large projects where custom cast stone products are delivered over an extended period of time.

Ballot No.:CSC 2022-05Item No.:005Ballot Title:Service vs. Design Loading

Page 1 of 1

**Technical Contacts** David Owen: (256) 732-2228; davidowen@basscocaststone.com Jason Thompson: (571) 201-3446; jason@coltivomae.com

Proposed revisions to Design, Fabrication and/or Installation Standards for Architectural Cast Stone

#### **RATIONALE:**

Historically, there have been allowable stress level loads and strength level loads used in design depending on the design methodology a user opted to employ. The term 'allowable stress design' was often used interchangeably with 'service loading' and 'strength design' used synonymously with 'factored loading'.

Public Comment No. 17 correctly noted that ASCE 7 has recently changed loading terms and introduced a term for 'service loading' to address serviceability limits (e.g., deflections) that are different than full design loads. To avoid confusion and ambiguity, these terms are updated in TMS 404 as well. No technical change is implied.

# **PROPOSED CHANGE:** (Only the suggested change(s) being balloted are proposed for consideration. Supplementary text included for clarity, but not proposed for modification, is not part of this ballot item.)

# *Revise TMS 404 Code Section 8.3 as follows:*

## 8.2 – Stiffness

Computation of stiffness of reinforced cast stone assemblies shall first be checked on an uncracked section analysis under <u>allowable stress level service</u> loads, then checked on a cracked section analysis under <u>strength level factored nominal</u> loads. Computation of stiffness of unreinforced cast stone assemblies shall be based on an uncracked section analysis.



#### MEMORANDUM

Date: May 5, 2023

To: TMS Cast Stone Committee

CC: Phil Samblanet

From: Jason Thompson

#### Re: TMS CAST STONE COMMITTEE BALLOT RESULTS Ballot Number: CSC 2022-06 Ballot Topic: Proposed Revisions from Public Comments – Cast Stone Design Standards 404/504/604

Please find attached the report on the subject ballot along with comments received. When this ballot opened, the Cast Stone Committee had 13 voting members, 8 of which returned on-time responses. TMS Rules require affirmative votes from at least one-half of all eligible voters and affirmative votes from two-thirds of the affirmative and negative votes cast (Technical Committee Operations Manual Section 4.2.4). Based on these criteria, all ballot items passed.

No comments or negatives were received on any ballot item included on 2022-06. As such, there is no Committee action required in response. With the closing of Ballot 2022-06, the Committee will next move onto closing out the current cycle and recommending to TMS that TMS 404/504/604 are ready for publication.

Attachments: Ballot Summary Report

## Show Closed Ballot Detail

#### **Committee: Cast Stone Standards**

### Ballot: CSC 2022-06

ltem Number	Sub-Item Number	Description	Pass/Fail	Affirmative	Affirmative With Comment	Negative	Abstain	Comments	Total Voting Members	Totals
2022-06- 001		Deflection limits	Pass	8	0	0	0	0	13	1
2022-06- 002		Shrinkage Commentary	Pass	8	0	0	0	0	13	1
2022-06- 003		Cast Stone Damage Commentary	Pass	8	0	0	0	0	13	1
2022-06- 004		QC Sampling Commentary Clarification	Pass	8	0	0	0	0	13	1
2022-06- 005		Service vs. Design Loading	Pass	8	0	0	0	0	13	1
	Totals									

### Closed Ballot Detail - Comment Resolution Table

ltem Number	Comment Type	Commenter	Unrelated	Withdrawn	Pers Editorial	Pers Substantive	Non- Persuasive	Action to Resolve Comment Negative	Vote Record	Totals
Totals										

### **Closed Ballot Detail - Comment Table**

Item Number	Comment Type	Commenter	Comment	Comment File	Totals
				Totals	

Comment No.	Last Name	Page Number	Line Number	Public Comment	Committee Response
1	Bennett	3	14	TMS 402/602 changed the reference from ASCE 7 to ASCE/SEI 7. Consider doing the same.	Agreed. Changes consistent with public comment made per Letter Ballot 2022-05-001.
2	Bennett	9	24	Section 6.6 states: the out-of-plane deflection of assemblies supporting cast stone shall not exceed I/600. I am not sure whether that is a number 1/600, or lower case L/600. If the number 1, it needs to be clarified as to 1/600 of what? If lower case L, that seems a little strange as I believe it is talking about height. The loading also needs to be specified. Is this strength level wind load (1.0W), allowable stress level wind load (0.6W), or IBC serviceability level wind (0.42W)? A version of TMS 402 Section 13.3.1.2 might be considered. Out-of-plane deflection of the backing shall be limited to hb/360 under application of 0.42 times the strength level wind load, and hb/150 under application of the strength level seismic load. Perhaps: Out-of-plane deflection of the backing shall be limited to hb/600 under application of 0.42 times the strength level wind load.	Agreed. Changes consistent with public comment made per Letter Ballot 2022- 06-001.

3	Thompson	21	28	When sampling cubes for compression and absorption testing per ASTM C1194 and C1195, cube samples are required to have nominal dimensions of 2 inches and consist of five cut surfaces and one finished (uncut) surface. This raises a potential conflict: if the minimum thickness of cast stone is 2.0 inches, it wouldnâ€ <sup>™</sup> t be possible to obtain a 2 inch cube sample with only a single finished surface if the original thickness of the cast stone unit was 2 inches. Consider whether the minimum specified thickness of 2.0 inches is appropriate given the requirements for compression and absorption testing. (Note also the corresponding commentary discussion on 2 in. thickness in TMS 504 Commentary Section 5.1.)	Agreed. Changes consistent with public comment made per Letter Ballot 2022- 05-002.
4	Thompson	11	73	Consider defining the period encompassed by â€~too soon'.	Agreed. Changes consistent with public comment made per Letter Ballot 2022-06-002.
5	Thompson	21	10	Shop drawings should also include elevations illustrating unit placement and joint layout. The current list appears limited to drawings of the units being fabricated, not the cast stone assembly.	Agreed. Changes consistent with public comment made per Letter Ballot 2022- 05-005.
6	Thompson	24	1	Should there be allowances for chippage, small cracks, or other imperfections? C1364 has language about allowable minor damage.	Agreed. Changes consistent with public comment made per Letter Ballot 2022- 05-006 as further modified by Letter Ballot 2022-06-003.
7	Thompson	30	16	Section 6.2 appears to be a quality assurance requirement for fabrication and not for installation. Consider moving to 504.	Agreed. Changes consistent with public comment made per Letter Ballot 2022- 05-007 as further modified by Letter Ballot 2022-06-004.

8	Thompson	30	23	For more complex assemblies, the sample panel should include examples of at least one of each unique element (e.g. water table, sills, window surround, etc.) This could be discussed in the commentary.	Agreed. Changes consistent with public comment made per Letter Ballot 2022- 05-008.
9	Thompson	29	27	Change â€~the licensed design professional' to â€~a licensed design professional'.	Agreed. Changes consistent with public comment made per Letter Ballot 2022-05-001.
10	Thompson	36	29	Change â€~the licensed design professional' to â€~a licensed design professional'.	Agreed. Changes consistent with public comment made per Letter Ballot 2022-05-001.
11	Bennett	3	16	The most recent version of ASTM C426 is 2022. Please either update the reference or explain why an earlier version is used.	Agreed. Changes consistent with public comment made per Letter Ballot 2022-05-001.
12	Thompson	32	79	Change â€~Therefore, minimum qualifications…' to â€~Minimum qualifications…'	Agreed. Changes consistent with public comment made per Letter Ballot 2022-05-001.
13	Thompson	36	10	Remove the extra â€~in'.	Agreed. Changes consistent with public comment made per Letter Ballot 2022-05-001.
14	Thompson	36	15	Tuck-pointing isnâ€ <sup>™</sup> t usually a term that is used to refer to the finished mortar joint profile. Suggest replacing the last sentence with the following: Joints hall be tuck-pointed to the finished surface, and unless specified otherwise, shall be tooled to a	Agreed. Changes consistent with public comment made per Letter Ballot 2022- 05-009.
15	Bennett	39	7	<ul> <li>concave profile.</li> <li>Consider updating references. There is a 2019</li> <li>version of ACI 318. There is a 2022 version of</li> <li>ASTM C426. There is a 2019 version of ASTM</li> <li>C1364. There is a 2022 version of TMS 402. There</li> <li>is a 2018e1 version of ASTM C1384. There is a</li> <li>2016 version of TMS 602. Also correct the</li> </ul>	Agreed. Changes consistent with public comment made per Letter Ballot 2022- 05-001.

				reference to TMS 602. It says: TMS 602, 2016. TMS 402-16. The second should be TMS 602 not TMS	
16	Bennett	13	4	402. Section 8.3 has the statement: Radius of gyration shall be computed using average net cross- sectional area of the member considered. The reason for this is explained in the commentary. The commentary for stiffness (8.2) does include the moment of inertia: For stiffness computations, an average value of the appropriate section property (cross-sectional area or moment of inertia) is considered adequate for most design applications. Perhaps change the code 8.3 to: Radius of gyration shall be computed using average net cross-	Agreed. Changes consistent with public comment made per Letter Ballot 2022- 05-010.
				sectional properties of the member considered. Change commentary to: Because stiffness is based on the average net cross-sectional properties (cross-sectional area and moment of inertia) of the member considered, the same properties should be used in the computation of radius of gyration.	
				Section 8.2 states: Computation of stiffness of reinforced cast stone assemblies shall first be checked on an uncracked section analysis under service loads, then checked on a cracked section analysis under factored nominal loads.	Agreed. Changes consistent with public comment made per Letter Ballot 2022- 06-005.
17	Bennett	12	12	Historically service loads were synonymous with allowable stress level loads. However, ASCE 7 blew that up in 2016 when they added a definition of service loads that is different from allowable stress level loads. Thus, there is ambiguity. For example	

				should the check be made using 0.6W (allowable stress level wind load) or 0.42W (IBC serviceability level wind load)? I would suggest taking the approach of TMS 402 and change service loads to allowable stress level loads and factored nominal loads to strength level loads. The definitions of these two terms would then need to be added, but could be copied from TMS 402.	
18	Bennett	22	22	Considering adding ASTM F1554 anchor bolts. The section could be modified along the lines of TMS 602 2.4I, 2.4J, and 2.4K.	Agreed. Changes consistent with public comment made per Letter Ballot 2022- 05-012.
19	Thompson	1	1	General comment: review all references (code and commentary) and update to the latest editions as appropriate.	Agreed. Changes consistent with public comment made per Letter Ballot 2022-05-001.
20	Gremel	23	55	Thank you for including a reference to ASTM D7957 in the Cast Stone standard. My issue is with regard to the commentary and not the reference. I feel the commentary is inadequate and limiting in the scope of how GFRP bars can be applied in cast stone. Also, I believe it is more appropriate to reference ACI 440.11-22 as a design method. Cast stone elements are more similar to reinforced concrete than reinforced masonry and there is no reason to limit the design professional. Would propose something as follows:	The Committee appreciates the feedback and comment. At this time, however, the Committee believes any reference to ACI 440.11 is premature. As stated in the scoping requirements of TMS 404 and reiterated in the commentary to TMS 504, Section 5.7 on the use of GFRP reinforcement, cast stone designed under these standards is limited to non-structural applications. Further, because cast stone may be produced using wet-cast, dry-cast, or machine methods, there are questions as to whether the provisions of ACI 440.11 would

				applications in cast stone elements as well as pin connections anchoring cast stone elements together and to other portions of the structure. The implementation of ASTM D7957 GFRP bars in cast stone elements follows the 440.11-22 design code for reinforced concrete. GFRP has the benefit of reinforcing cast stone elements for in service as well as lifting and transport loads while being benign to the effects of corrosion resulting in longer lasting cast stone elements without the possibility of rust streaks or staining of the cast stone over time. When joining or pinning cast stone elements to the structure or together. GERP	universally apply to cast stone construction. Until additional research on the use of GFRP materials in cast stone construction becomes available, the Committee has opted not to expand on its use at this time.
				benefit of reinforcing cast stone elements for in service as well as lifting and transport loads while being benign to the effects of corrosion resulting in longer lasting cast stone elements without the possibility of rust streaks or staining of the cast	Committee has opted not to expand on
				stone elements to the structure or together, GFRP pins, while having a lower shear strength than steel bars do generally have a 22 kip shear strength that may be accommodated to allow use as non-corrosive connections. The use of ASTM D7957 applied to cast elements in accordance with ACI 440.11-22 is included in the IBC	
21	Thompson	13	74	International Building Code. The reference to TMS 604 should be to TMS 5040 (fabrication).	Agreed. Changes consistent with public comment made per Letter Ballot 2022- 05-001.
22	Thompson	22	27	Should Type 302 stainless steel be permitted?	Agreed. Changes consistent with public comment made per Letter Ballot 2022- 05-013.
23	Thompson	30	75	Consider expanding on the commentary to clarify that sample panels should include all processes - inclusive of cleaning, sealers, etc.	Agreed. Changes consistent with public comment made per Letter Ballot 2022-05-008.
24	Thompson	14	27	The reference to embedding wire in 'grout' has lead to some confusion. Consider whether referencing grout in this section is appropriate; whether a more generic term such as 'cementitious materials' would be better suited, or	Agreed. Changes consistent with public comment made per Letter Ballot 2022- 05-014.

				if portions of Section 9.4 may be better located in TMS 504 as a fabrication requirement.	
25	Thompson	22	27	Consider adding Type 302 stainless steel as an option in addition to Types 304 and 316.	See response to Public Comment No. 22.
26	Thompson	1	1	In the abstract for 404, it starts by stating, "TMS 402 covers the design"	Agreed. Changes consistent with public comment made per Letter Ballot 2022- 05-001.
27	Trimble	9	23	Review abstract and preface. Based on changes made to the TMS 402-2022 Veneer Chapter, should this section be modified to be a stability check and not a true deflection limit? The L/600 deflection limit may be too stringent for many projects. If no changes are made, then the title should be modified to fit the subject and be changed to "Out of-Plane Deflection". Without the change, it could also refer to support by a foundation or lintel.	Agreed. Changes consistent with public comment made per Letter Ballot 2022- 06-001.
28	Trimble	36	8	Cast stone units are not always wetted and that practice should be a function of the environmental/weather conditions. Consider language such as "Cast stone units shall be wetted prior to setting in mortar depending on the environmental conditions."	Agreed. Changes consistent with public comment made per Letter Ballot 2022- 05-015.
29	Trimble	36	16	tuck-pointed the wrong term. It should be pointed.	Agreed. Changes consistent with public comment made per Letter Ballot 2022-05-009.
30	Trimble	36	71	There should be some commentary about the installation of small cast stone units where raking and pointing is not done. When these brick-sized units are laid, they are laid like brick in full mortar beds. I wouldn't recommend something for the code, but some commentary would be helpful	Agreed. Changes consistent with public comment made per Letter Ballot 2022- 05-016.

	such as "Small cast stone units are often laid in a full mortar bed similar to brick units and are not raked back and pointed."	
--	---	--



# TMS Cast Stone Committee March 27, 2023 Conference Call Minutes 1:00 pm to 3:00 pm (Eastern)

## **Voting Membership Present**

Ken Bownds Matt Farmer Katie Hatfield Robb Haukohl Ron LaRicci Craig Lyon Kiley Marcoe Tim Michael David Owen (chair) Jerry Painter Christine Subasic

**Non-Voting Membership and Guests** Jan Boyer Sara O'Neil-Manion

Jason Thompson

## 1. Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 1:04 pm (eastern). The group was reminded of the TMS antitrust statement. It was noted that a quorum was present with 11 of 13 voting members present.

## 2. Welcome and Introductions

Jason briefed the Committee on the status of the TMS 404/504/604 standards currently being revised. TMS 404/504/604 has been through public review and the Committee is now in the process of reviewing the public comments, proposing modifications to the standards in response to those comments, and developing Committee responses to the public comments. Two key documents were distributed to the Committee ahead of today's meeting:

- Responses to Letter Ballot 2022-05: Main Ballot 2022-05 included a series of changes in response to TAC comments. We'll review these responses and propose action(s) moving forward.
- Draft Responses to Public Comments: Each public comment has a draft response developed based on previous Committee action, or in cases where no action was taken, a draft response explaining why.

The goal of today's meeting is to review the results of Ballot 2022-05 and the draft responses to the public comments. The objective is to continue to make progress on updates to TMS 404/504/604 and publish the next editions of these standards prior to December 1, 2023. This is the deadline imposed by the International Code Council to update referenced standards in the 2024 editions of the I-Codes. The hope is to have all Committee actions finalized in the coming months so that a closure statement can be given to TMS TAC, who in turn can act on that Committee request at their upcoming meeting in June. This would allow the standards to be finalized and published this summer ahead of the ICC deadline.

## 3. Review of Committee Roster

Three ballot items failed on Main Ballot 2022-05 due to a lack of minimum responses. To maintain quorum and allow the committee to continue to make progress, four voting members had their membership classification changed from voting to corresponding. The committee remains in balance with 7 voting user members, 6 voting producer members, and 9 corresponding members.

## 4. Approval of Minutes

The November 18, 2022 minutes were distributed prior to the meeting. A motion was made by Matt and seconded by Robb to approve the minutes as distributed. Motion passed without dissent.

## 5. Review of Main Ballot 2022-05

Ballot Items 001, 006, 007, and 016 each received one or more affirmative with comment votes. The Committee reviewed each comment received and agreed that because they were minor in nature and the editorial clarifications offered would be included on Main Ballot 2022-06.

Ballot Items 003, 004, and 011 failed due to a lack of responses from voting members. Items 004 and 011 did not receive any comment and the Committee agreed to re-ballot these items to address the public comments. Item 003 received a negative from Ken. Upon further reflection, the Committee agree to further modify the proposal to address deflection limits for individual cast stone units as well as the backing under out-of-plane allowable stress component and cladding wind pressures. Language to be balloted:

Revise TMS 404 Code Section 4.1 as follows: l = clear span of structural support, in. (mm)s = design span of individual cast stone units, in. (mm)

## Revise TMS 404 Code Section 6.6 as follows:

## 6.6 — Deflection limits

Unless a less stringent deflection limit is verified through engineering analysis, the outof-plane deflection of assemblies supporting cast stone shall not exceed 1/600. **6.6.1** Deflection of horizontally spanning support members – Horizontally spanning members supporting cast stone shall be designed so that the vertical deflection due to allowable stress level dead plus live loads does not exceed 1/600.

**6.6.2** *Out-of-plane deflection* – The out-of-plane deflection due to allowable stress level component and cladding wind pressure determined in accordance with ASCE/SEI 7 shall be limited to s/600 for individual cast stone units and *l*/360 for the cast stone support system.

## Revise TMS 404 Commentary Section 6.6 as follows:

## 6.6 — Deflection limits

These deflection limits apply to any material <u>or system</u> providing <del>out of plane</del> support <u>to</u> <u>the cast stone element or system of cast stone</u>. The deflection <u>limits limit are is</u> intended to prevent visible deflections and serviceability problems under short-term loading conditions. When considering deflections resulting from sustained loads, a more stringent deflection limit may be warranted. Some anchorage and support mechanisms may require a check on stiffness compatibility between the cast stone and its support system.

## 6. Review of Draft Responses to Public Comments

The Committee reviewed the draft response to the public comments previously distributed. The majority of the responses we're categorized as 'agree' with the public comment and cited a ballot item number that included Committee-approved revisions stemming from the comment. One public comment (Comment No. 20) suggested including a reference to ACI 440.11 for the design of cast stone incorporating GFRP reinforcement. The Committee agreed that such a reference would be premature at this time citing that ACI 440.11 includes design provisions for structural concrete, whereas cast stone under the scope of TMS 404 is limited to nonstructural applications only. These draft responses will be updated per the results of Ballot 2022-05 and proposed changes on Ballot 2022-06 and distributed to the Committee as a no-protest ballot (response not required unless an objection is noted).

7. Other Business

No items of other business were brought forward for consideration.

8. Adjournment

With no additional business to consider, the meeting was adjourned at 2:10 pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Jason Thompson Secretary, Cast Stone Committee

# **Standards for Architectural Cast Stone**

Including:

TMS 404 Standard for Design of Architectural Cast Stone

TMS 504 Standard for Fabrication of Architectural Cast Stone

> TMS 604 Standard Specification for Installation of Architectural Cast Stone

Developed by The Masonry Society's Cast Stone Committee



Advancing the knowledge of masonry

The Masonry Society 105 South Sunset Street, Suite Q Longmont, CO 80501

## ABSTRACT

Standards for Architectural Cast Stone contains three standards and their commentaries:

- TMS 404: Standard for Design of Architectural Cast Stone
- TMS 504: Standard for Fabrication of Architectural Cast Stone
- TMS 604: Standard Specification for Installation of Architectural Cast Stone

These standards are produced by The Masonry's Society's Cast Stone Committee using the consensus procedures of TMS.

TMS 404 covers the design of cast stone systems and elements included in structures or assemblies. Among the subjects it covers are: definitions; contract documents; project drawings; design loads; material properties; strength and serviceability; analysis and design; section properties; reinforcement detailing; and quality assurance.

TMS 504 covers the fabrication of cast stone systems and elements. Among the subjects it covers are: ASTM standards; shop drawings; material properties; reinforcing steel; detailing; anchors; ties; corrosion protection; and delivery.

TMS 604 covers the installation of cast stone systems and elements. Among the subjects it covers are: installation; construction; submittals; materials; mortars; masonry; quality assurance; inspection; and tolerances.

These standards are written in such form that they may be adopted by reference in a legally adopted building code.

The commentaries present background details, committee considerations, and research data used to develop the Standards. The Commentaries are not mandatory and are for information of the user only.

The Masonry Society's Cast Stone Committee is responsible for these standards and strives to avoid ambiguities, omissions, and errors in these documents. In spite of these efforts, the users of these documents occasionally find information or requirements that may be subject to more than one interpretation or may be incomplete or incorrect. Users who have suggestions for the improvement of these documents are requested to contact TMS.

These documents are intended for the use of individuals who are competent to evaluate the significance and limitations of its content and recommendations and who will accept responsibility for the application of the material it contains. Individuals who use this publication in any way assume all risk and accept total responsibility for the application and use of this information.

All information in this publication is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind, either express or implied, including but not limited to, the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose or non-infringement.

The Masonry Society and its members disclaim liability for damages of any kind, including any special, indirect, incidental, or consequential damages, including without limitation, lost revenues or lost profits, which may result from the use of this publication.

It is the responsibility of the user of this document to establish health and safety practices appropriate to the specific circumstances involved with its use. The sponsoring organizations do not make any representations with regard to health and safety issues and the use of this document. The user must determine the applicability of all regulatory limitations before applying the document and must comply with all applicable laws and regulations, including but not limited to, United States Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) health and safety standards.

COPYRIGHT © 2016, The Masonry Society, Longmont, CO.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. This material may not be reproduced or copied, in whole or part, in any printed, mechanical, electronic, film, or other distribution and storage media, without the written consent of TMS.

Adopted as standards of The Masonry Society (October 9, 2016).

ISBN 978-1-929081-51-6 TMS Order No. TMS-0404-16 Produced in the United States of America

# **About the Cast Stone Committee**

## TMS 404/504/604

The Cast Stone Committee of The Masonry Society was formed to establish and maintain appropriate industry standards in the design and construction of cast stone products in structures and infrastructure and disseminate knowledge regarding those practices. The Cast Stone Committee is charged with:

- establishing and maintaining standards for the design and construction of cast stone products through TMS's ANSI consensus process, along with associated commentary;
- disseminating technical knowledge and best practices through appropriate TMS publications; and
- developing educational programs and seminars as necessary to achieve these objectives.

Additional details of the Committee, its work, and its meeting schedule are posted at www.masonrysociety.org and can be obtained from The Masonry Society. A roster of the Committee Members during the 2016 Revision Cycle is shown on the following page.

# **About The Masonry Society**



Advancing the knowledge of masonry

The Masonry Society (TMS) was founded in 1977 as a not-for-profit professional, technical, and educational association dedicated to the advancement of knowledge on masonry. Today TMS is an international gathering of people interested in the art and science of masonry, and its members include design engineers, architects, builders, researchers, educators, building officials, material suppliers, manufacturers, and others who want to contribute to and benefit from the global pool of knowledge on masonry.

TMS gathers and disseminates technical information through its committees, publications, codes and standards, newsletter, refereed journal, educational programs, workshops, scholarships, disaster investigation team, and conferences. The work of TMS is conducted by individual TMS members and through the volunteer committees composed of both members and non-members.

For more information about TMS, contact The Masonry Society, 105 South Sunset Street, Suite Q, Longmont, Colorado, 80501-6172 U.S.A; Phone: 303-939-9700; Fax:303-541-9215; E-mail: info@masonrysociety.org; Website: www.masonrysociety.org

# **Cast Stone Committee**

David N. Owen - Chair Janet L. Boyer - Secretary

### Voting Members<sup>1</sup>

Kenneth L. Bownds Kent Grubaugh Ron LaRicci Jerry M. Painter Chris Dawson Matt Hamblin Kiley P. Marcoe J. Patrick Rand Sara O'Neil-Manion Ted Echols Bernie Igusky Steven H. Ruiz Matthew C. Farmer Larry D. Jones David N. Owen Christine A. Subasic

### **Corresponding Members<sup>2</sup>**

Janet L. Boyer Richard Filloramo Raymond T. Miller Joseph Schmadel Jason J. Thompson David B. Woodham

- 1 Voting Members during the 2016 Revision Cycle. They participated in Committee activities, voted on Committee ballots and reviewed Committee correspondence.
- 2 Corresponding Members during the 2016 Revision Cycle. They could participate in Committee activities but did not have voting privileges.

## Additional Recognitions and Credits

In addition to the Cast Stone Committee, a number of individuals assisted in the development, review, and layout of the provisions. Their contributions are greatly appreciated.

#### **TMS Technical Activities Committee**

David I. McLean, Chair

Peter M. Babaian Charles B. Clark, Jr. Darrell W. McMillian Raymond T. Miller James P. Mwangi John J. Myers Paul G. Scott Jason J. Thompson

**Staff Liaison** Phillip J. Samblanet, TMS

Balloting Assistance Susan Scheurer, Committee Liaison, The Masonry Society

Cover Design

Jan Boyer and Karen Trout, Cast Stone Institute

## Layout of this Publication

This publication is broken into four major parts.

- The first part contains TMS 404-16 *Standard for Design of Architectural Cast Stone*, with any commentary on the provisions shown to the right in a gray shaded box. These pages are designated by a "D" (for Design) in the page number.
- The second part contains TMS 504-16 *Standard for Fabrication of Architectural Cast Stone*, with any commentary on the provisions shown to the right in a gray shaded box. These pages are designated by an "F" (for Fabrication) in the page number.
- The thirst part contains TMS 604-16 *Standard Specification for Installation of Architectural Cast Stone*, with any commentary on the provisions shown to the right in a gray shaded box. These pages are designated by an "I" (for Installation) in the page number.
- The fourth, and last, part contains Commentary References from the Commentary to TMS 404, TMS 504, and TMS 604.

Each of these four major parts contain their own "bleed tabs" on the outside edges of the pages so that users can quickly determine which portion (Design, Fabrication, Installation, or References) they are reviewing. A Table of Contents of each individual standard is provided prior to that Standard, along with a synopsis and keywords for the Standard.

Also be advised that a number of pages are intentionally left blank so that the beginning of each Chapter starts on a right hand page.

# Section 1 General

## 1.1 — Scope

This standard provides minimum requirements for the design of non-structural cast stone systems and elements.

## COMMENTARY

## Section 1 General

## 1.1 — Scope

This standard covers the minimum requirements for the design and detailing of cast stone systems and serves as part of the legally adopted building code.

Much of the information and many of the requirements of this standard have been drawn from historical practices and successful means and methods of designing and detailing cast stone systems and structures. More information on the use of cast stone products along with industry recommendations intended to supplement this standard is available through the Cast Stone Institute (www.caststone.org).

This standard governs the design of non-structural cast stone, which may on occasion have structural design aspects that warrant additional consideration. Examples of nonstructural cast stone include veneers, cladding, sills, and quoins. The structural design aspects of nonstructural cast stone include, but are not limited to, gravity and lateral support and load transfer to supporting elements. Examples of structural cast stone may include columns, lintels, and similar elements that support loads other than their own weight. The design of structural cast stone is outside the scope of this standard. For such applications, users are referred to Section 2 of this standard and to TMS 402, Building Code Requirements for Masonry Structures (TMS 402, 2016), for the design of dry-cast or mortared structural cast stone or ACI 318, Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete (ACI 317, 2014), for the design of wetcast structural cast stone.

The provisions of this standard address the minimum requirements for the design of non-structural cast stone. As such, some specific applications or conditions may warrant exceeding these minimum requirements; however, these provisions should not be reduced or relaxed below the minimum threshold defined by this standard.

As many projects involving cast stone components include some degree of delegated design, whereby fabrication and anchorage details for cast stone are supplied by the installer or manufacturer of the cast stone, each party must understand their role and intended services to be provided and clearly communicate project variables. Topics may include special loading conditions, resolving conflicting details from separate third parties, coordination of trades and installation schedules, limitations on the scope of services, and completeness of work to be provided.

This standard supplements the legally adopted building code and shall govern in matters pertaining to the design of cast stone, except where this standard is in conflict with requirements in the legally adopted building code. In areas without a legally adopted building code, this standard defines the minimum acceptable standard for the design of cast stone.

## 1.3 — SI information

The SI values shown in parentheses are not part of this standard. The equations in this standard are for use with the specified inch-pound units only.

# Section 2 Alternative Design or Method of Construction

The provisions of this standard, and those of TMS 504 and TMS 604 referenced within this standard, shall not be construed as prohibiting a method of design or construction not specifically prescribed, provided such method of design or construction has been approved by the authority having jurisdiction.

## COMMENTARY

Within the United States, the vast majority of states and municipalities have adopted a building code that covers the minimum requirements for the design, construction, and inspection of buildings and similar structures. In those jurisdictions where the building code references or adopts this standard, the provisions of this standard become part of the building code's requirements as if fully transcribed therein. In jurisdictions that have not adopted a building code, the provisions of this standard can still be used as reference material and guidance for the design of cast stone. By reference, the minimum requirements for the fabrication and installation of cast stone are covered in TMS 504 and TMS 604, respectively.

Because the requirements for cast stone in this standard are interrelated with requirements for cast stone in other standards and codes, this standard may need to be superseded when there are conflicts between the provisions of this standard and the legally adopted building code or with documents referenced by this standard. The user must resolve such conflicts where they arise. Generally, the requirements of the locally adopted building code govern where conflicts exist.

#### 1.3 — SI information

The equivalent SI values and equations are provided for information to the user.

# Section 2 Alternative Design or Method of Construction

New methods of design, new materials, and new uses of materials must undergo a period of development before being specifically addressed by a code or standard. Hence, valid systems or components might be excluded from use by implication if means were not available to obtain acceptance. This section permits proponents to submit supporting documentation substantiating the adequacy of their system or component for approval.

# Section 3 Cited Standards

Standards of the American Society of Civil Engineers, ASTM International, the American Welding Society, and The Masonry Society cited in this standard are listed below with their serial designations, including year of adoption or revision, and are declared to be part of this standard as if fully set forth in this document.

- ASCE/SEI 7-22 Minimum Design Loads and Associated Criteria for Buildings and Other Structures
- ASTM C426-22 Standard Test Method for Linear Drying Shrinkage of Concrete Masonry Units
- ASTM E111-17 Standard Test Method for Young's Modulus, Tangent Modulus, and Chord Modulus
- AWS D1.4/D1.4M-18 Structural Welding Code Reinforcing Steel
- TMS 504-23 Standard for the Fabrication of Architectural Cast Stone
- TMS 604-23 Standard Specification for Installation of Architectural Cast Stone

# COMMENTARY

# Section 3 Cited Standards

The standards cited are referenced in this standard as part of the design requirements for cast stone. Specific editions of each cited standard are listed because changes to the referenced standard may result in changes of properties or procedures. Contact information for the organizations maintaining these standards is provided below:

American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) 1801 Alexander Bell Drive Reston, VA 20191 www.asce.org

ASTM International (ASTM) 100 Barr Harbor Drive West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959 www.astm.org

American Welding Society (AWS) 550 N.W. LeJeune Road Miami, FL 33126 www.aws.org

The Masonry Society (TMS) 105 South Sunset Street, Suite Q Longmont, CO 80501-6172 www.masonrysociety.org

# Section 4 Notation and Definitions

## 4.1 — Notation

- $d_b$  = nominal diameter of reinforcement or anchor bolt, in. (mm)
- $E_{MCS}$  = modulus of elasticity of cast stone in compression, psi (MPa)
- $E_S$  = modulus of elasticity of steel, psi (MPa)
- $E_{VCS}$  = modulus of rigidity (shear modulus) of cast stone, psi (MPa)
- $f'_{cs}$  = specified compressive strength of cast stone, psi (MPa)
- $f'_{g}$  = specified compressive strength of grout, psi (MPa)
- $f_y$  = specified yield strength of steel for reinforcement and anchors, psi (MPa)
- l = clear span of structural support, in. (mm) $l_d$  = development length or lap length of straight reinforcement, in. (mm)
- s = design span of individual cast stone units, in. (mm)

#### 4.2 — Definitions

*Cast stone* (Architectural Cast Stone) – An architectural precast concrete building unit manufactured to simulate natural cut stone.

*Contract documents* – Documents establishing the required work, including in particular, the project drawings and project specifications.

*Dry-cast* – Cast stone manufactured from zero slump concrete.

## COMMENTARY

# Section 4 Notations and Definitions

### 4.1 — Notations

No commentary.

### 4.2 — Definitions

For consistent application in this standard, terms are defined here that have particular meaning in the context of these provisions. The definitions given here are for use in application of this standard only and do not always correspond to ordinary usage.

*Cast stone* (Architectural Cast Stone) – Cast stone products can be manufactured using wet-cast or dry-cast procedures, depending upon the facilities and equipment used by a particular manufacturer or as needed to produce a desired profile or feature. The method of manufacturing should not be specified, but instead be at the discretion of the cast stone producer who has the knowledge and understanding to employ the appropriate manufacturing method for the product specified. Because of its near exclusive use as an architectural enhancement, the terms 'cast stone' and 'architectural cast stone' are used interchangeably.

*Contract documents* – Contract documents are the vehicle of communicating the designer's intent, and do not, by default, address means and methods of construction.

*Dry-cast* – Dry-casting procedures for cast stone production generally consist of two methods: 1) vibrant dry-tamp (VDT) casting method, which consists of vibratory ramming of zero-slump concrete against a rigid mold until it is densely compacted; and 2) machine casting method, which consists of zero-slump concrete compacted

D-4

# COMMENTARY

by machinery using vibration and pressure against a mold until it becomes densely consolidated.

Element, cast stone - A single cast stone unit or a combination of individual cast stone units that are assembled into a final configuration to create a unique component.

*Vertically aligned bond* – Any architectural bond pattern where:

- a) head joints in successive courses are horizontally offset less than one-quarter of the length of the unit;
- b) or where more than 55% of the total cumulative length of the head joints in an assembly are vertically aligned in a single plane.

*Wet-cast* – Cast stone manufactured from measurable slump concrete.

## Section 5 Contract Documents

#### 5.1 — General

Project drawings and project specifications for cast stone construction shall be consistent with design intent and shall identify the individual responsible for their preparation.

## COMMENTARY

*Element, cast stone* – A cast stone element may be comprised of multiple individual pieces of cast stone. For example, window surrounds and columns often consist of multiple pieces of cast stone that are field assembled into the final cast stone element.

Vertically aligned bond - The selection of a bond pattern is often driven by the desire to achieve a specific aesthetic effect. There are, however, performance implications associated with bond patterns that should be addressed when designing and detailing a cast stone assembly. For the purposes of this standard, cast stone assemblies laid in mortar using a bond pattern that meets the definition of vertically aligned bond must be reinforced horizontally in accordance with Section 9.6.1 to provide continuity across the heads joints. Stack bond, which is commonly interpreted as a bond pattern with vertically aligned heads joints, is one bond pattern that is required to be reinforced horizontally. Examples of bond patterns meeting the requirements of vertically aligned bond are illustrated in Figure C4.2-1. Examples of bond patterns that do not meet the requirements of vertically aligned bond are illustrated in Figure C4.2-2. (Shaded portions of the figures illustrate the repeating pattern for ashlar bond assemblies.) Cast stone may also consist of discrete elements or components of larger assemblies where bond pattern is irrelevant. Examples include balusters, keystones, and signage.

*Wet-cast* – Wet-casting procedures for cast stone consist of vibrating a measurable slump concrete into a mold until it becomes densely consolidated.

## Section 5 Contract Documents


#### 5.1 — General


The provisions for preparation of project drawings, project specifications, and issuance of permits are, in general, consistent with those of most legally adopted building codes and are intended as supplements to those codes.

The contract documents must accurately reflect design requirements and modeling assumptions. For example, joint and opening locations assumed in the design should be coordinated with locations shown on the drawings. Verification that cast stone construction conforms to the contract documents is required by this standard. A program of quality assurance must be included in the contract documents to satisfy this requirement as required by Section 5.4. This standard is intended to be referenced by the contract documents; however, the contractor should not be required through contract documents to assume responsibility for design (Code) requirements, unless the construction entity is acting in a design-build capacity.

# COMMENTARY

Planes where more than 55% of the head joints align -








Figure C4.2-1 Vertically Aligned Bond Patterns




Figure C4.2-2 Non-Vertically Aligned Bond Patterns

## 5.2 — Project Drawing Information

The project drawings shall show all applicable and required information including:

- a) Name and date of issue of code and supplement to which the design conforms.
- b) Loads used in the design of cast stone systems.
- c) Specified compressive strength of cast stone at stated ages or stages of construction.
- d) Size and location of structural elements supporting cast stone.
- e) Details of anchorage of cast stone to structural members, frames, and other construction, including the type, size, and location of connectors.
- f) Connections to be welded and welding requirements.
- g) Provision for dimensional changes resulting from moisture, vibrations, impact, shrinkage, expansion, temperature changes, creep, unequal settlement of supports, and differential movement.
- h) Size and permitted location of conduits, pipes, and sleeves.
- i) Dimensioning of areas where cast stone is to be placed.

#### 5.3 — Fabrication and Installation

Cast stone assemblies and their components shall be designed in accordance with the provisions of this design standard. The fabrication of cast stone elements shall be specified to comply with the requirements of TMS 504. The installation of cast stone elements and systems shall be specified to comply with the requirements of TMS 604.

#### 5.4 — Quality Assurance

Contract documents shall include an itemized quality assurance program that equals or exceeds the requirements of TMS 604.

# COMMENTARY

## 5.2 — Project Drawing Information

This standard lists some of the more important items of information that must be included in the project drawings. This is not an all-inclusive list and additional items may be required by the designer, the building official or legally adopted building code.

#### 5.3 — Fabrication and Installation

The successful outcome of a cast stone project depends not only on good detailing and design, but also the use of quality products and the implementation of good construction practices and workmanship. The requirements of this standard are inherently built upon the assumption that cast stone products meet the requirements of TMS 504, *Standard for Fabrication of Architectural Cast Stone*, which in turn are installed in accordance with the minimum requirements of TMS 604, *Standard Specification for Installation of Architectural Cast Stone*.

## 5.4 — Quality Assurance

TMS 604 defines the minimum quality assurance requirements to ensure the quality of product, assembly, and workmanship meet the performance assumptions inherent in the design requirements defined by this standard and the fabrication requirements of TMS 504.

# Section 6 Loading

## 6.1 — General

Cast stone shall be designed to resist applicable loads. A continuous load path or paths, with adequate strength and stiffness, shall be provided to transfer forces from the point of application to the final point of resistance.

#### 6.2 — Load provisions

Design loads shall be in accordance with the legally adopted building code. In the absence of design loads in the legally adopted building code, the load provisions of ASCE/SEI 7 shall be used.

#### 6.3 — Lateral force-resistance

Structures shall be provided with a structural system designed to resist wind and earthquake loads and to accommodate the effect of the resulting deformations. Cast stone designed in accordance with this standard shall not be used as part of a building's lateral force-resisting system.

#### 6.4 — Load transfer at intersections

Cast stone elements and assemblies shall be designed to resist applied loads, moments, and shears. The effect of lateral displacement, drift, and translation of members providing lateral support to cast stone systems shall be considered. Devices used for supporting cast stone shall be designed to resist the forces involved. Cast stone elements and assemblies shall not be connected to structural frames unless the connections and the cast stone are designed to resist interconnecting design forces and to accommodate calculated deflections.

## COMMENTARY

# Section 6 Loading

## 6.1 — General

Cast stone must be designed and detailed to safely transfer applied design loads to its supports and into the structural system.

#### 6.2 — Load provisions

If the design loads specified by the legally adopted building code differ from those of ASCE/SEI 7, the legally adopted building code governs. The designer may choose to use more stringent design load requirements than the legally adopted building code.

#### 6.3 — Lateral force-resistance

Cast stone is commonly incorporated as nonstructural components and cladding of a building or otherwise structurally isolated from the lateral force-resisting system. Portions of a building's structural system supporting loads applied from, or resisting loads induced from cast stone, must be designed to accommodate such forces. This standard does not include provisions for using cast stone systems as part of the lateral force-resisting system. Such uses should be considered under Section 2 for special systems.

### 6.4 — Load transfer at intersections

Cast stone systems may be connected to horizontal or vertical elements of the structure and may rely on these elements for lateral support and stability. The mechanism through which the interconnecting forces are transmitted may involve bond, mechanical anchorage, friction, bearing, or a combination thereof. The designer must assure that, regardless of the type of connection, the interacting forces are resisted. In flexible frame construction, the relative movement (drift) between floors may generate forces within the members and the connections that must be considered in design.

Exterior cast stone façades connected to structural frames are used primarily as non-load-bearing curtain walls. Regardless of the structural system used for support, there are differential movements between the structural support and the cast stone. These differential movements may occur separately or in combination and may be due to the following:

- 1) Temperature increase or decrease of either the structural frame or the cast stone.
- 2) Shrinkage resulting from moisture loss or carbonation of the cast stone.
- 3) Elastic shortening of elements or assemblies from axial loads, shrinkage, or creep.
- 4) Deflection of supporting beams.
- 5) Drift in multiple-story buildings.

## 6.5 — Other effects

Consideration shall be given to effects of forces and deformations resulting from moisture, vibrations, impact, shrinkage, expansion, temperature changes, creep, unequal settlement of supports, and differential movement, including movement between different materials in the same plane or assembly.

## 6.6 — Deflection limits

**6.6.1** Deflection of horizontally spanning support members – Horizontally spanning members supporting cast stone shall be designed so that the vertical deflection due to allowable stress level dead plus live loads does not exceed 1/600.

**6.6.2** *Out-of-plane deflection* – The out-of-plane deflection due to allowable stress level component and cladding wind pressure determined in accordance with ASCE/SEI 7 shall be limited to s/600 for individual cast stone units and 1/360 for the cast stone support system.

## Section 7 Material Properties

#### 7.1 — General

Unless otherwise determined by test, the material properties of Section 7 shall be used for the design of cast stone.

## 7.2 — Elastic moduli

**7.2.1** Steel reinforcement — Modulus of elasticity of steel reinforcement,  $E_S$ , shall be taken equal to 29,000,000 psi (200,000 MPa).

**7.2.2** Cast stone — The modulus of elasticity of cast stone,  $E_{MCS}$ , shall be taken equal to the chord modulus of elasticity taken between 0.05 and 0.33 of the maximum compressive strength of the cast stone product or system

# COMMENTARY

6) Foundation movement.

These differential movements must be accommodated by sufficient clearance between the frame and the cast stone to prevent the unintended transfer of loads into the cast stone. Flexible or slip-type connections may also facilitate such differential movement.

#### 6.5 — Other effects

Applied loads are not the sole source of stresses. The cast stone may also be required to resist forces from other sources, the nature and extent of which may be greatly influenced by the choice of materials, structural connections, and geometric configuration as cast stone does not always behave in the same manner as its structural supports or adjacent construction. The designer should consider differential movements and the forces resulting from their restraint. While load transfer usually involves cast stone attached to structural elements, such as beams or columns, the connection of nonstructural elements, such as door and window frames, should also be addressed.

Connectors used in the construction of cast stone systems are available in a variety of sizes, shapes, and uses. In order to perform properly they should be identified on the project drawings as required by Section 5.2.

#### 6.6 — Deflection limits

These deflection limits apply to any material or system providing support to the cast stone element or system. The deflection limits are intended to prevent visible deflections and serviceability problems under short-term loading conditions. When considering deflections resulting from sustained loads, a more stringent deflection limit may be warranted. Some anchorage and support mechanisms may require a check on stiffness compatibility between the cast stone and its support system.

## Section 7 Material Properties

7.1 — General

No commentary.

## 7.2 — Elastic moduli

**7.2.2** Cast stone —Limited test data exists correlating the compressive strength of cast stone to its modulus of elasticity as either a material or system. As such, testing is required in situations where the modulus of

when tested in accordance with ASTM E111. The modulus of rigidity of cast stone,  $E_{VCS}$ , shall be taken equal to  $0.4E_{MCS}$ .

# COMMENTARY

elasticity is required for design. Bounding the chord modulus at 5% and 33% of the maximum compressive strength captures the typical range of service-level stresses for masonry systems (TMS 402, 2016). The modulus of rigidity has historically been taken as 40% of the modulus of elasticity for concrete systems.

#### 7.3 — Coefficient of thermal expansion

The coefficient of thermal expansion for cast stone shall be taken as 0.0000045 in./in./°F (0.0000081 mm/mm/°C).

## 7.4 — Coefficient of shrinkage

The coefficient of shrinkage for cast stone shall be taken as one-half of the linear drying shrinkage determined in accordance with ASTM C426.

### 7.5 — Allowable flexural stress of unreinforced cast stone units

The allowable flexural stress of unreinforced cast stone units shall be taken equal to  $5\sqrt{f_{cs}'}$ .

## COMMENTARY

#### 7.3 — Coefficient of thermal expansion

The coefficient of thermal expansion for cast stone is assumed similar to that used for concrete masonry construction (TMS 402, 2016; NCMA TEK 10-3, 2003). All concrete-based materials have a range of thermal expansion depending upon mix design, constituent materials, and method of manufacturing. The typical range of the coefficient of thermal expansion varies from 0.000003 in./in./°F (0.0000054 mm/mm/°C) to 0.000007 in./in./°F (0.0000126 mm/mm/°C).

#### 7.4 — Coefficient of shrinkage

For design, the coefficient of shrinkage is assumed to be one-half of the maximum linear drying shrinkage determined in accordance with ASTM C426, which is consistent with similar assumptions for concrete masonry construction (TMS 402, 2016). ASTM C426 (2016) requires that linear drying shrinkage be measured and reported, but does not stipulate a maximum linear drying shrinkage value.

Not all cast stone exhibits the same shrinkage characteristics in response to changes in moisture content or curing. Drying shrinkage, as measured by ASTM C426, is significantly influenced by the type of aggregate used in production, the relative amount of cement used in the mix design, and the method(s) of curing prior to delivery. Likewise, not all applications incorporating cast stone systems require the same considerations when mitigating cracking due to shrinkage. For example, setting cast stone in mortar versus dry-setting cast stone reflects two very different systems where crack control strategies would differ. Where shrinkage is a design consideration, care should be taken to verify units are not installed when volume loss due to drying and cement hydration is the largest. This window of time may be in the first couple weeks following production, but may also extend to a month or more depending on the material properties, production and curing methods, and environmental conditions.

## 7.5 — Allowable flexural stress of unreinforced cast stone units

The allowable flexural stress of cast stone units is drawn from corresponding concrete research and has been used successfully in practice for many years. This value applies to the cast stone unit, not the assembly. The allowable flexural stress of assemblies of cast stone units would depend upon whether the individual units are dry-set or mortared.

Cast stone designed in accordance with this standard is limited to non-structural, architectural applications. As a cladding, the cast stone is designed to transfer out-of-plane loads to the backing through the supporting anchors or

D-12

frame. Because there is no consideration of assembly stresses in the cast stone cladding, there are no allowable flexural design stresses included in this standard that are applicable to the assembly. Where such values may be needed, they would need to be determined by test for the materials to be used on a project. TMS 404-16, Page D-14

## TMS 404

# Section 8 Material Properties

#### 8.1 — Stress determination

Cast stone members shall be designed using section properties based on the minimum net cross sectional area of the member under consideration. Section properties shall be based on specified dimensions.

#### 8.2 — Stiffness

Computation of stiffness of reinforced cast stone assemblies shall first be checked on an uncracked section analysis under allowable stress level loads, then checked on a cracked section analysis under strength level loads. Computation of stiffness of unreinforced cast stone assemblies shall be based on an uncracked section analysis.

## COMMENTARY

# Section 8 Material Properties

### 8.1 — Stress determination

Configurations, and therefore section properties, of cast stone products vary depending upon the product being produced and its application. Computation of stresses, including flexure, compression, tension, and shear, for cast stone assemblies is not often necessary, but when appropriate, the net cross-sectional properties of the cast stone assembly are required to be used in calculating stresses.

#### 8.2 — Stiffness

Because unreinforced cast stone assemblies rely upon the flexural tensile strength of the cast stone to resist applied loads, they must be designed to remain uncracked. As such, stiffness computation of unreinforced cast stone is based on uncracked section properties. For reinforced cast stone assemblies, the cast stone is assumed to crack to transfer tensile stresses to the reinforcement; however, the stiffness is a function of the extent of cracking within an element or assembly. Lacking a more sophisticated cracked section analysis, the cracked moment of inertia of a section can be assumed to be 50% of the uncracked moment of inertia of the section. For serviceability, reinforced cast stone assemblies are checked under service-level loads using uncracked sections. Design strength of cast stone assemblies is then verified using cracked section properties subjected to full design loads.

The section properties of cast stone members may vary from point to point. For example, a cast stone column may taper along its length. For stiffness computations, an average value of the appropriate section property (crosssectional area or moment of inertia) is considered adequate for most design applications.

Stiffness calculations are based upon whether the assembly is continuously reinforced, not whether an individual cast stone element contains reinforcement. For example, it is common practice to incorporate reinforcement into large panels of cast stone for handling and shrinkage purposes. Such panels may be laid together in mortar to create a larger assembly, however, because the reinforcement is discontinuous at the mortar joints, the assembly would be considered to be unreinforced even though the individual panels contain reinforcement.

## 8.3 — Radius of gyration

Radius of gyration shall be computed using average net cross-sectional properties of the member considered.

# Section 9 Details of Reinforcement and Metal Accessories

## 9.1 — Embedment

Reinforcing bars shall be embedded in cast stone.

#### 9.2 — Size of reinforcement

**9.2.1** The diameter of reinforcement embedded in cast stone units shall not exceed one-fourth the minimum dimension of the unit. The maximum size of reinforcement used in dry-cast cast stone units and systems shall be No. 4 (M #13). The maximum size of reinforcement used in wet-cast cast stone units and systems shall be No. 8 (M #25).

# COMMENTARY

## 8.3 — Radius of gyration

The radius of gyration is the square root of the ratio of bending moment of inertia to cross-sectional area. Because stiffness is based on the average net cross-sectional properties (cross-sectional area and moment of inertia) of the member considered, the same area should be used in the computation of radius of gyration. The radius of gyration is calculated as follows:

$$r = \sqrt{\frac{I}{A}}$$

Where: r =radius of gyration I = net moment of inertia A = net cross-sectional area

# Section 9 Details of Reinforcement and Metal Accessories

The provisions of Section 9 are largely based on historically accepted practice for cast stone construction augmented with similar practices used for masonry construction (TMS 402, 2016). Reinforcing options for cast stone elements include ferrous-based metals as well as nonmetals, such as fiberglass reinforcement

#### 9.1 — Embedment

Cast stone units are often manufactured with reinforcement incorporated into the product in accordance with TMS 504. This standard does not address the field installation of reinforcing bars within cast stone assemblies.

#### 9.2 — Size of reinforcement

**9.2.1** The limitation on the maximum size of reinforcement permitted to be embedded within a cast stone element is a direct means of ensuring relatively large diameter reinforcing bars are not placed within relatively small cast stone units, which in turn can lead to performance or aesthetic problems when placed in service. It is also an indirect means of providing cover and corrosion protection of the reinforcement. For a cast stone slab measuring 2.5 in. (64 mm) in thickness, the maximum diameter of reinforcement permitted by this requirement would be a No. 5 (M#16) bar. Larger cover distances may be required for corrosion protection of reinforcement in accordance with Section 9.4.

The limitation on reinforcing bar size is arbitrary based on historically accepted practice. Wet-casting procedures generally allow for larger cast stone products to be manufactured, hence the larger permitted size of reinforcement. **9.2.2** Longitudinal and cross wires of joint reinforcement shall have a minimum wire size of W1.1 (MW7) and a maximum wire size of one-half the joint thickness.

# 9.3 — Placement of reinforcement within the cast stone element

**9.3.1** The clear distance between parallel bars shall not be less than the nominal diameter of the bars, nor less than 1 in. (25.4 mm), whichever is greater. The clear distance between a contact lap splice and adjacent splices or bars shall not be less than the nominal diameter of the bars, nor less than 1 in. (25.4 mm), whichever is greater.

**9.3.2** Reinforcing bars shall not be bundled.

**9.3.3** Welded wire reinforcement shall not be embedded in dry-cast cast stone elements.

# 9.4 — Protection of reinforcement and metal accessories

**9.4.1** Cover of reinforcing bars provided by the cast stone or grout shall not be less than twice the diameter of the reinforcement nor less than  $1\frac{1}{2}$  in. (38.1 mm), whichever is greater. For wet-cast cast stone incorporating reinforcing bars larger than No. 5 (M #16), the minimum cover shall be increased to 2 in. (51 mm).

**9.4.2** Wire joint reinforcement shall be fully embedded in cementitious material with a minimum cover of  ${}^{5}/{}_{8}$  in. (15.9 mm) when exposed to earth or weather and  ${}^{1}/{}_{2}$  in. (12.7 mm) when not exposed to earth or weather. Wire reinforcement shall be stainless steel or protected from corrosion by hot-dipped galvanized coating or epoxy coating when used in cast stone exposed to earth, weather, or a mean relative humidity exceeding 75 percent. All other wire reinforcement shall be mill galvanized, hot-dip galvanized, epoxy coated, or stainless steel.

# COMMENTARY

**9.2.2** Note that Section 9.3.3 does not permit welded wire reinforcement to be embedded into dry-cast cast stone elements during manufacturing. There is, however, no limit on the use of wire reinforcement within the joints of a cast stone assembly. The maximum wire size of one-half the joint thickness is to ensure that the wire is sufficiently bonded to the mortar in which it is embedded. W1.1 (MW7) wire has a nominal diameter of 0.121 in. (3.1 mm). As such, bed joint reinforcement cannot be used when the mortar joint thickness is less than 0.25 in. (6.4 mm).

# 9.3 — Placement of reinforcement within the cast stone element

**9.3.1** These requirements help to ensure that there is sufficient clearance around reinforcement to adequately consolidate the concrete in which the reinforcement is embedded.

#### 9.3.2 No commentary.

**9.3.3** Due to the difficulty in adequately consolidating dry-cast, zero-slump concrete around welded wire reinforcement, its use is permitted only with wet-cast manufactured cast stone elements.

# 9.4 — Protection of reinforcement and metal accessories

9.4.1 No commentary.

**9.4.2** The provisions of Section 9.4.2 apply to wire reinforcement used in the mortar joints between cast stone units or placed within the units during manufacturing of wet-cast cast stone units.

**9.4.3** Wall ties, anchors, plates, dowels, and similar embedded metal accessories exposed to earth, weather, or a mean relative humidity exceeding 75 percent shall be stainless steel. Wall ties, anchors, plates, dowels, and similar embedded metal accessories not exposed to earth, weather, or a mean relative humidity exceeding 75 percent shall be stainless steel, hot-dip galvanized, mill galvanized, or epoxy coated.

## 9.5 — Reinforcement detailing

**9.5.1** *Standard hooks* — Standard hooks shall consist of the following:

- (a) 180-degree bend plus a minimum  $4d_b$  extension, but not less than  $2^{1/2}$  in. (64 mm) at free end of bar;
- (b) 90-degree bend plus a minimum  $12d_b$  extension at free end of bar; or
- (c) for stirrup and tie hooks, either a 90-degree or 135degree bend plus a minimum  $6d_b$  extension, but not less than 2  $1/_2$  in. (64 mm) at free end of bar.

**9.5.2** Minimum bend diameter for reinforcing bars — The diameter of bend measured on the inside of reinforcing bars shall not be less than  $6d_b$ .

#### 9.5.3 Development and splicing of reinforcement

**9.5.3.1** General — The calculated tension or compression in the reinforcement at each section shall be developed on each side of the section by development length, hook, mechanical device, or combination thereof. Hooks shall not be used to develop bars in compression

**9.5.3.2** Development of bars in tension or compression — The required development length of reinforcing bars shall not be less than  $48d_b$  or 12 in. (305 mm), whichever is greater. Development length of epoxy-coated bars shall be increased 50 percent.

## COMMENTARY

9.4.3 Unlike wire reinforcement and reinforcing bars that are completely embedded within, and protected by, the cast stone assembly, other steel embeds may be partially or completely exposed to the effects of weathering and subsequent corrosion. As such, this standard requires metal accessories embedded within a cast stone unit to be stainless steel when exposed to weather. Metal accessories used in interior applications where the mean relative humidity is 75 percent or less are permitted to be protected from corrosion by hot-dip or mill galvanizing or epoxy coating. This requirement only applies to metal items that are embedded within a cast stone assembly and does not apply to metal items that are simply in contact with a cast stone assembly; for example, relief angles used to support cast stone.

#### 9.5 — Reinforcement detailing

9.5.1 *Standard hooks* — No commentary.

**9.5.2** *Minimum bend diameter for reinforcing bars* — No commentary.

9.5.3 Development and splicing of reinforcement9.5.3.1 General — No commentary.

**9.5.3.2** Development of bars in tension or compression — The scope of this standard does not address the design of cast stone elements that are part of the lateral force-resisting system. As such, the system ductility implicit within the lap splice and development length requirements of similar concrete and masonry design standards is not required in cast stone systems. Requiring a minimum lap and development length of 48 bar diameters ensures that sufficient bond can be developed between the reinforcing bar and the material within which it is embedded to transfer stresses and distribute loads encountered during transport, installation, and service

**9.5.3.3** Splices of reinforcement — Lap splices, welded splices, or mechanical splices are permitted in accordance with the provisions of this section. Welding shall conform to AWS D1.4.

**9.5.3.3.1** *Lap splices* — The minimum length of lap for bars in tension or compression shall be determined in accordance with Section 9.5.3.2, but shall not be less than 12 in. (305 mm).

**9.5.3.3.2** Welded splices — Welded splices shall have the bars butted and welded to develop in tension at least 125 percent of the specified yield strength of the bar. Welded splices shall not be permitted in dry-cast cast stone.

**9.5.3.3.** *Mechanical splices* — Mechanical splices shall have the bars connected to develop in tension or compression, as required, at least 125 percent of the specified yield strength of the bar.

## 9.6 — Prescriptive detailing requirements

**9.6.1** Cast stone laid in vertically aligned bond — For cast stone laid in mortar and constructed in vertically aligned bond, the minimum area of horizontal wall reinforcement shall be 0.00028 multiplied by the gross vertical cross-sectional area of the cast stone assembly using specified dimensions. Horizontal wall reinforcement shall be placed at a maximum vertical spacing of 48 in. (1219 mm) on center in horizontal mortar joints.

**9.6.2** *Minimum reinforcement* — Cast stone units shall be reinforced in each principal dimension greater than 24 in. (610 mm). The minimum area of reinforcement shall be 0.25 percent of the minimum cross-sectional area of the unit.

## COMMENTARY

**9.5.3.3** Splices of reinforcement — No commentary.

#### 9.6 — Prescriptive detailing requirements

**9.6.1** Cast stone laid in vertically aligned bond — The amount of horizontal reinforcement required for cast stone construction laid in vertically aligned bond patterns is based on similar requirements for concrete masonry construction. This reinforcement is prescriptive and is intended to provide continuity across head joints. This reinforcement can also be used to resist design loads. The requirements of Section 9.6.1 only apply to cast stone laid in mortar. Cast stone assemblies constructed using soft joints finished with sealant or are otherwise isolated (such as balusters, stair treads, etc.) are not required to contain horizontal reinforcement within the mortar joints.

**9.6.2** *Minimum reinforcement* — This prescriptive requirement is based on successful past practices to ensure cast stone units are not damaged during handling or transport. Additional reinforcement may be necessary for larger cast stone elements placed by crane or similar methods requiring anchor points within the unit or where loading requirements dictate additional reinforcement is necessary.

D-18

This page intentionally left blank

# Section 1 General

### 1.1 — Scope

This standard provides minimum requirements for the fabrication of cast stone elements.

### 1.2 — Governing building code

This standard supplements the legally adopted building code and shall govern in matters pertaining to the fabrication of cast stone, except where this standard is in conflict with requirements in the legally adopted building code. In areas without a legally adopted building code, this standard defines the minimum acceptable standard for the fabrication of cast stone.

### 1.3 — SI information

The SI values shown in parentheses are not part of this standard. The equations in this standard are for use with the specified inch-pound units only.

### COMMENTARY

### Section 1 General

### 1.1 — Scope

This standard covers the minimum requirements for the fabrication of cast stone units and elements.

Much of the information and many of the requirements of this standard has been drawn from historical practices and successful means and methods of designing and detailing cast stone systems and structures. More information on the use of cast stone products along with industry recommendations intended to supplement this standard is available through the Cast Stone Institute (www.caststone.org).

The provisions of this standard address the minimum requirements for the fabrication of cast stone. As such, some specific applications or conditions may warrant exceeding these minimum requirements; however, these provisions should not be reduced or relaxed below the minimum threshold defined by this standard.

### 1.2 — Governing building code

See Commentary Section 1.2 of TMS 404 for additional information.

### 1.3 — SI information

The equivalent SI values and equations are provided for information to the user.

# Section 2 Cited Standards

Standards of ASTM International and The Masonry Society cited in this standard are listed below with their serial designations, including year of adoption or revision, and are declared to be part of this standard as if fully set forth in this document.

- ASTM A36/A36M-19 Standard Specification for Carbon Structural Steel
- ASTM A123/A123M-17 Standard Specification for Zinc (Hot Dip Galvanized) Coatings on Iron and Steel Products
- ASTM A153/A153M-16a Standard Specification for Zinc Coating (Hot Dip) on Iron and Steel Hardware
- ASTM A240/A240M-22b Standard Specification for Chromium and Chromium Nickel Stainless Steel Plate, Sheet, and Strip for Pressure Vessels and for General Applications
- ASTM A307-21 Standard Specification for Carbon Steel Bolts and Studs, 60,000 psi Tensile Strength
- ASTM A480/A480M-22a Standard Specification for General Requirements for Flat Rolled Stainless and Heat Resisting Steel Plate, Sheet, and Strip
- ASTM A580/A580M-18 Standard Specification for Stainless Steel Wire
- ASTM A615/A615M-22 Standard Specification for Deformed and Plain Carbon Steel Bars for Concrete Reinforcement
- ASTM A641/A641M-19 Standard Specification for Zinc Coated (Galvanized) Carbon Steel Wire
- ASTM A653/A653M-22 Standard Specification for Steel Sheet, Zinc Coated (Galvanized) or Zinc Iron Alloy Coated (Galvannealed) by the Hot Dip Process
- ASTM A666-15 Standard Specification for Annealed or Cold Worked Austenitic Stainless Steel Sheet, Strip, Plate, and Flat Bar
- ASTM A706/A706M-22 Standard Specification for Low Alloy Steel Deformed and Plain Bars for Concrete Reinforcement
- ASTM A767/A767M-19 Standard Specification for Zinc Coated (Galvanized) Steel Bars for Concrete Reinforcement
- ASTM A775/A775M-22 Standard Specification for Epoxy Coated Steel Reinforcing Bars

## COMMENTARY

# Section 2 Cited Standards

The standards cited are referenced in this standard as part of the design fabrication requirements for cast stone. Specific editions of each cited standard are listed because changes to the referenced standard may result in changes of properties or procedures. Contact information for the organizations maintaining these standards is provided below:

ASTM International (ASTM) 100 Barr Harbor Drive West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959 www.astm.org

The Masonry Society (TMS) 105 South Sunset Street, Suite Q Longmont, CO 80501-6172 www.masonrysociety.org.

- ASTM A884/A884M-19e1 Standard Specification for Epoxy Coated Steel Wire and Welded Wire Reinforcement
- ASTM A899- 91(2021) Standard Specification for Steel Wire, Epoxy Coated
- ASTM A996/A996M-16 Standard Specification for Rail Steel and Axle Steel Deformed Bars for Concrete Reinforcement
- ASTM A1008/A1008M-21a Standard Specification for Steel, Sheet, Cold Rolled, Carbon, Structural, High Strength Low Alloy, High Strength Low Alloy with Improved Formability, Solution Hardened, and Bake Hardenable
- ASTM A1064/A1064M-22 Standard Specification for Carbon-Steel Wire and Welded Wire Reinforcement, Plain and Deformed, for Concrete
- ASTM C1116/C1116M-10a(2015) Standard Specification for Fiber Reinforced Concrete
- ASTM C1364-23 Standard Specification for Architectural Cast Stone
- ASTM D7957/D7957M-22 Standard Specification for Solid Round Glass Fiber Reinforced Polymer Bars for Concrete Reinforcement
- ASTM F1554-20 Standard Specification for Anchor Bolts, Steel, 36, 55, and 105 ksi Yield Strength
- TMS 404-23 Standard for Design of Cast Stone

# COMMENTARY

# Section 3 Notation and Definitions

The notation and definitions defined in Section 4 of TMS 404 shall apply.

# Section 4 Shop Drawings

The shop drawings shall show all applicable and required information including:

- a) Name of manufacturer.
- b) Details of anchorage of cast stone to structural members, frames, and other construction, including the type, size, and location of connectors.
- c) Identification of each cast stone unit.
- d) Location of reinforcement in the cast stone element.
- e) Unit dimensions, including copes, cuts, and openings.
- f) Unit color and architectural finish.
- g) The layout of the finished construction including unit placement and joint locations.

# Section 5 Materials

### 5.1 — Cast stone

Cast stone elements shall comply with the requirements of ASTM C1364. The maximum length of cast stone elements shall not exceed 15 multiplied by the average thickness of the element unless designed to exceed this limit. The minimum specified thickness of cast stone shall be 2.5 in. (64 mm). Cast stone elements shall be manufactured to the following tolerances:

- a) Cross-sectional dimensions: shall not exceed  $\pm^{1}/_{8}$  in. (3.2 mm) from the specified dimensions.
- b) Length of units: shall not exceed the greater of  $\frac{1}{_{360}}$  of the specified length or  $\pm \frac{1}{_4}$  in. (6.4 mm) maximum.
- c) Warp, bow, and twist: shall not exceed the greater of  $\frac{1}{_{360}}$  of the specified length or  $\pm \frac{1}{_8}$  in. (3.2 mm) from the specified dimensions.
- d) Location of features: shall not exceed  $\pm^{1/8}$  in. (3.2 mm) from the specified dimensions on the formed side of the unit and shall not exceed  $\pm^{3/8}$  in. (9.5 mm) from the specified dimensions on the unformed side of the unit.

### COMMENTARY

# Section 3 Notations and Definitions

See commentary to Section 4 of TMS 404 for additional discussion.

### Section 4 Shop Drawings

This standard lists some of the more important items of information that must be included in the shop drawings. This is not an all-inclusive list and additional items may be required for each project.

# Section 5 Materials

#### 5.1 — Cast stone

No commentary.

### 5.2 — Reinforcing steel

Reinforcing steel shall be Grade 40 (476 MPa) or Grade 60 (414 MPa) deformed and shall conform to one of the following as specified:

- a) ASTM A615/A6115M
- b) ASTM A706/A706M
- c) ASTM A767/A767M
- d) ASTM A775/A775M
- e) ASTM A996/A996M

#### 5.3 — Wire reinforcement

Wire reinforcement shall conform to ASTM C1064/C1064M.

### 5.4 — Fiber reinforcement

Fiber reinforcement used in cast stone shall conform to ASTM C1116/C1116M.

### 5.5 — Anchors, ties, and accessories

Anchors, ties, and accessories shall conform to the following specifications:

- a) Plate and bent-bar anchors: ASTM A36/A36M or ASTM F1554
- b) Sheet-metal anchors and ties: ASTM A1008/A1008M
- c) Wire ties and anchors: ASTM C1064/C1064M
- d) Headed anchor bolts: ASTM A307, Grade A or ASTM F1554

### 5.6 — Stainless steel

Stainless steel items shall be AISI Type 302, Type 304 or Type 316, and shall conform to the following:

- a) Joint reinforcement: ASTM A580/A580M
- b) Plate and bent-bar anchors: ASTM A480/A480M and ASTM A666
- c) Sheet-metal anchors and ties: ASTM A480/A480M and ASTM A240/A240M
- d) Wire ties and anchors: ASTM A580/A580M

# COMMENTARY

### 5.2 — Reinforcing steel

No commentary.

### 5.3 — Wire reinforcement

Section 9.3.3 of TMS 404 does not permit welded wire reinforcement to be embedded into dry-cast cast stone elements during manufacturing. Welded wire reinforcement is permitted only in wet-cast cast stone elements.

### 5.4 — Fiber reinforcement

No commentary.

### 5.4 — Anchors, ties, and accessories

No commentary.

### 5.6 — Stainless steel

No commentary.

### 5.7 – Composite reinforcement

Glass fiber reinforced polymer bars shall conform to ASTM D7957/D7957M.

#### 5.7 — Coatings for corrosion protection

Carbon steel joint reinforcement, ties, anchors, and steel plates and bars shall be protected from corrosion by galvanizing or epoxy coating in conformance with the following minimums:

- a) Galvanized coatings:
  - 1) Mill galvanized coatings:
    - i. Joint reinforcement: ASTM A641/A641M (0.1 oz/ft<sup>2</sup>) (31 g/m<sup>2</sup>)
    - ii. Sheet-metal ties and sheet-metal anchors: ASTM A653/A653M Coating Designation G60
  - 2) Hot-dip galvanized coatings:
    - Joint reinforcement, wire ties, and wire anchors: ASTM A153/A153M (1.50 oz/ft<sup>2</sup>) (458 g/m<sup>2</sup>)
    - ii. Sheet-metal ties and sheet-metal anchors: ASTM A153/A153M Class B
    - iii. Steel plates and bars (as applicable to size and form indicated): ASTM A123/A123M or ASTM A153/A153M, Class B
- b) Epoxy coatings:
  - Joint reinforcement: ASTM A884/A884M Class A, Type 1 — 7 mils (175 μm)
  - Wire ties and anchors: ASTM A899/A899M Class C — 20 mils (508 μm)
  - Sheet-metal ties and anchors 20 mils (508 μm) per surface

#### 5.8 — Reinforcement details

•

Detailing of reinforcement shall comply with the requirements of Section 9 of TMS 404.

# COMMENTARY

### 5.7 – Composite reinforcement

Glass fiber reinforced polymer (GFRP) reinforcement has applications in cast stone near electromagnetic equipment and highly corrosive environments where the use of ferrous reinforcement is undesirable. While GFRP tends to exhibit less ductility than conventional mild reinforcement, given the limits of TMS 404/504/604 to non-structural applications, the structural response of cast stone containing GFRP is outside the scope of these standards.

### 5.7 — Coatings for corrosion protection

No commentary.

F-7

### 5.8 — Reinforcement details

No commentary.

Section 6

## Delivery

Cast stone units shall be marked as shown on the shop drawings and shall be packaged to protect them from staining and damage during shipping and storage.

### Delivery

Despite great care, some damage to individual cast stone units may occur during production, transport, or installation. ASTM 1364, which this standard requires compliance to, includes the following provision:

8.2 Minor chipping resulting from shipment and delivery shall not be grounds for rejection. Minor chipping shall not be obvious under direct daylight illumination from a 20-ft (6-m) distance.

Where minor damage does occur, TMS 604 Commentary Section 8.3 provides guidance on repair options.

# Section 1 General

### 1.1 — Scope

This standard provides minimum requirements for the installation of cast stone and defines the minimum acceptable standards of construction practice.

### 1.2 — Governing building code

This standard supplements the legally adopted building code and shall govern in matters pertaining to the installation of cast stone, except where this standard is in conflict with requirements in the legally adopted building code. In areas without a legally adopted building code, this standard defines the minimum acceptable standard for the installation of cast stone.

### 1.3 — SI information

The SI values shown in parentheses are not part of this standard. The equations in this standard are for use with the specified inch-pound units only.

### COMMENTARY

### Section 1 General

### 1.1 — Scope

This standard covers the minimum requirements for the installation of cast stone.

Much of the information and many of the requirements of this standard has been drawn from historical practices and successful means and methods of installing cast stone. More information on the use of cast stone products along with industry recommendations intended to supplement this standard is available through the Cast Stone Institute (www.caststone.org).

The provisions of this standard address the minimum requirements for the installation of cast stone. As such, some specific applications or conditions may warrant exceeding these minimum requirements; however, these provisions should not be reduced or relaxed below the minimum threshold defined by this standard.

### 1.2 — Governing building code

See Commentary Section 1.2 of TMS 404 for additional information.

### 1.3 — SI information

The equivalent SI values and equations are provided for information to the user.

# Section 2 Cited Standards

Standards of ASTM International and The Masonry Society cited in this standard are listed below with their serial designations, including year of adoption or revision, and are declared to be part of this standard as if fully set forth in this document.

- ASTM C270-19ae Standard Specification for Mortar for Unit Masonry
- ASTM C920-18 Standard Specification for Elastomeric Joint Sealants
- ASTM C1107/C1107M-20 Standard Specification for Packaged Dry, Hydraulic Cement Grout (Nonshrink)
- ASTM C1194-19 Standard Test Method for Compressive Strength of Architectural Cast Stone
- ASTM C1195-21 Standard Test Method for Absorption of Architectural Cast Stone
- ASTM D2000-18 Standard Classification System for Rubber Products in Automotive Applications
- ASTM D2287-19 Standard Specification for Nonrigid Vinyl Chloride Polymer and Copolymer Molding and Extrusion Compounds
- TMS 404-23 Standard for Design of Architectural Cast Stone
- TMS 504-23 Standard for Fabrication of Architectural Cast Stone
- TMS 602-22 Specification for Masonry Structures

## COMMENTARY

# Section 2 Cited Standards

The standards cited are referenced in this standard as part of the design requirements for cast stone. Specific editions of each cited standard are listed because changes to the referenced standard may result in changes of properties or procedures. Contact information for the organizations maintaining these standards is provided below:

ASTM International (ASTM) 100 Barr Harbor Drive West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959 www.astm.org

The Masonry Society (TMS) 105 South Sunset Street, Suite Q Longmont, CO 80501-6172 www.masonrysociety.org.

# Section 3 Notation and Definitions

The notation and definitions defined in Section 4 of TMS 404 shall apply.

### Section 4 Work

### 4.1 — Scope of work

In addition to the requirements of this standard, the following shall be included within the scope of work:

- 1. Furnishing and placing cast stone, grout, mortar, lintels, sills, copings, through-wall flashing, and connectors.
- Furnishing, erecting, maintaining, and removal of bracing, forming, scaffolding, rigging, and shoring.
- 3. Furnishing and installing other equipment for constructing cast stone assemblies.
- 4. Cleaning cast stone and removing surplus material and waste.
- 5. Installing items to be built into the cast stone assembly and other items furnished and located by other trades.
- 6. Any other information necessary for the installation of cast stone.

### 4.2 — Submittals

The following items shall be submitted for approval:

- 1. Samples of the cast stone that are representative of the general range of finish and color proposed to be furnished to the project. Approved samples shall be retained throughout the project.
- 2. Shop drawings and supporting documentation related to design and detailing used by the cast stone manufacturer to produce elements proposed to be furnished to the project.
- 3. Construction plans, which shall include at a minimum the hot and cold weather construction procedures.
- 4. Material certificates, certifying that each of the following materials is in compliance with its respective standard.
  - a. Reinforcement
  - b. Anchors, ties, fasteners, and metal accessories
  - c. Cast stone units
  - d. Mortar
  - e. Grout

### COMMENTARY

# Section 3 Notations and Definitions

See commentary to Section 4 of TMS 404 for additional discussion.

## Section 4 Work

### 4.1 — Scope of work

This list includes the scope of work typical to most construction projects incorporating cast stone. All of these tasks and materials will not be required for every project.

### 4.2 — Submittals

Materials and processes used for manufacturing cast stone vary according to the aggregates locally available to the manufacturer and the processes and techniques used to obtain the desired appearance and physical properties. Of paramount importance in molding cast stone is the need to use a properly proportioned mixture of white and/or grey cements, manufactured or natural sands, carefully selected crushed stone or well graded natural gravel, and mineral coloring pigments to achieve the desired appearance while maintaining durable physical properties. As with any product manufactured using natural constituent materials, variations in color and appearance are to be expected in the final product similar to natural stone. ASTM C1364 defines permissible variations in color and hue of cast stone from approved samples.

# Section 5 Materials

### 5.1 — Cast stone

Supply cast stone complying with the requirements of TMS 504

### 5.2 — Mortar

For each mortar mix, one of the following shall be submitted for approval:

- 1. Mix design indicating material types and their proportions meeting the proportion requirements of ASTM C270, Type N; or
- 2. Mix design and test results meeting the property requirements of ASTM C270, Type N.

### 5.3 — Grout

Grout used in the construction of cast stone assemblies shall meet the requirements of ASTM C1107/C1107M.

### 5.4 — Joint material

Contraction joint material shall conform to one of the following standards:

- 1. ASTM D2000, M2AA-805 Rubber shear keys with a minimum durometer hardness of 80.
- 2. ASTM D2287, Type PVC 654-4 PVC shear keys with a minimum durometer hardness of 85.
- 3. ASTM C920.

### 5.5 — Cleaner

Cleaning materials and processes shall be approved by a licensed design professional.

### 5.6 — Delivery, storage, and handling

Materials that are damaged or contaminated shall not be used. Cementitious materials shall be protected from precipitation and groundwater. Reinforcement, ties, and metal accessories shall be protected from permanent distortions and stored off the ground.

# COMMENTARY

# Section 5 Materials

### 5.1 — Cast stone

TMS 504, *Standard for Fabrication of Architectural Cast Stone*, defines the minimum requirements for the fabrication of cast stone.

### 5.2 — Mortar

The limitation to use only Type N mortar is based on past successful performance.

#### 5.3 — Grout

Grout complying with ASTM C476 is not appropriate for use with cast stone masonry. Grout meeting ASTM C1107 is required when used as a bedding material or for embedding items.

#### 5.4 — Joint material

No commentary.

Manufacturers of the cast stone products often have cleaning recommendations and should be consulted accordingly as they are familiar with options that work with their products.

### 5.6 — Delivery, storage, and handling

No commentary.

5.5 — Cleaner

# Section 6 Quality Assurance

### 6.1 — General

A quality assurance program shall be defined in the construction documents and shall include at a minimum the requirements of Section 6.2 through 6.4.5 and the following:

- (a) Procedures for reporting and review.
- (b) Procedures for resolution of non-compliance.
- (c) Qualifications for testing laboratories and inspection agencies.
- (d) Requirements for verifying conformance of material composition, quality, storage, handling, preparation, and placement.

#### 6.2 — Cast stone

For each 500 ft<sup>3</sup> (14.2 m<sup>3</sup>) of cast stone product, or fraction thereof, sample three units and test in accordance with ASTM C1194 for compressive strength and ASTM C1195 for absorption. At least one sample consisting of three units shall be obtained for each mix design supplied to a project.

### 6.3 — Sample panels

Using approved materials and procedures, construct a sample panel having minimum dimensions of 4 ft by 4 ft (1.22 m by 1.22 m). The acceptable standard for the work is established by the accepted panel. Retain sample panel at the project site until cast stone work is installed and accepted.

### 6.4 — Inspection

### COMMENTARY

# Section 6 Quality Assurance

#### 6.1 — General

Verification that cast stone construction conforms to the construction documents is required by this standard. Because the design and complexity of cast stone construction can vary from project to project, so must the extent of the quality assurance program. The contract documents must indicate the type and timing of the testing, inspection, and other measures that are required to assure that the work is in conformance.

#### 6.2 — Cast stone

Samples for compression and absorption testing may be selected by the purchaser or the purchaser's authorized representative after delivery; or alternatively, samples may be obtained from the manufacturer prior to delivery. ASTM C1364 requires sampling for measuring compressive strength and absorption every 500 ft<sup>3</sup> (14.2 m<sup>3</sup>) of product. As such, quality control documentation may already be available for reference. At the purchaser's discretion, it is acceptable to reference such quality control test reports to supplement or replace field testing of products. Using plant quality control documentation may be appropriate for small jobs using stock cast stone products, but less appropriate for large projects where custom cast stone products are delivered over an extended period of time.

#### 6.3 — Sample panels

The sample panels are permitted to be a predefined segment of the cast stone construction or a separate standalone panel. Cast stone installations can vary from routine to highly customized and complex. As such, the scale and detail of the sample panel should vary depending on the needs of a project. Consideration should be given to capturing the installation of different cast stone unit sizes and shapes as well as unique construction details around openings or at material transitions. Where postconstruction processes are used on the finished cast stone assembly, such as cleaning or applying a post-applied coating, these process should also be used on the sample panel to assess their impacts on the aesthetics of the construction.

#### 6.4 — Inspection

The inspection requirements cover what typically must take place for most cast stone projects prior to, during, and following construction. In addition, when test samples are

obtained in the field, the inspection program must include procedures to ensure the samples are properly obtained.

Onsite inspection and acceptance of cast stone units should be performed at the time of delivery and again after all material has been installed, pointed and cleaned. Final inspection should be done prior to application of water repellents or similar coatings, if applicable. The onsite inspector should be familiar with the project specification as well as the applicable referenced standards. Test reports of compressive strength, absorption and other physical properties should be on file as well as the approved sample(s).

Before installation, check the color and texture of the approved sample against the delivered product. Cast stone should approximate the color and texture of the approved sample when viewed under good, typical lighting conditions at a 10 ft (3.0 m) distance and should show no obvious imperfections other than minimal color and texture variations from a 20 ft (6.1 m) distance. The texture should not be appraised under a sun wash when sunlight is skimming across the surface parallel to the plane of the stone face, as this will unfairly accentuate minor irregularities. In addition to issues concerning color and texture, the inspector and contractor must be familiar with the dimensional requirements of the installation as they pertain to joint sizes and interfaces with other materials.

Cast stone should always be appraised for color when dry, as dampness may darken the surface color and make it appear blotchy. Curing time differential may affect color because moisture can be retained within units for months, even in dry weather. Samples that have been stored for long periods may look considerably different than a product that was manufactured only a short time before delivery.

Minor variations in color and texture from element-toelement should be accepted within the limits of the accepted range, either established by several samples, mockups or by deviations from instrumentally measured color coordinates. In general, expect color variation to be approximately equal to a good natural cut limestone project. More color variation should be expected than from building materials with painted or applied finishes.

Some units will show more color variation than others. Units containing gray cement will show more light-dark variations than those containing white cement. Colors that require high amounts of integral pigments, such as reds and browns, will vary more than neutral shades such as buff. Special mixes containing contrasting and multi-colored aggregates may be subject to extreme color deviations when compared to homogeneous facing mixes.

Variations in color within the same unit may be caused by efflorescence or free lime migrating to the outer surface. This can usually be remedied by proper wash down. Staining, mortar smears or uneven washing can also cause color variations within units and the

manufacturer should be consulted for recommended treatment of these problems.

Touch up and repair is perhaps the greatest source of dissatisfaction with finished installations. When months have elapsed between the date of manufacture and the date of repairs, significant differences in color may exist between properly repaired areas and the remainder of the unit. These areas should be left alone and will blend in over time through curing, natural weathering and ultraviolet light. Assessment of color matching should be evaluated following at least 28 days of curing and typical environmental exposure.

Common deficiencies include:

- (a) Bug holes or air voids on the finished surfaces.
- (b) Ragged or chipped edges on formed edges.
- (c) Stains on exposed faces from foreign substances.
- (d) Twist, warp, out-of-square or bow exceeding tolerances.
- (e) Out-of-plane or pie shaped joints, or large or small joints out of tolerance.
- (f) Areas of rough texture or smoothness not matching sample when viewed from 10 ft (3.0 m).
- (g) Backup concrete bleeding through exposed faces.
- (h) Visible cracks exceeding 0.005 in. (0.13 mm).
- (i) Reinforcing shadows or exposure on face.
- (j) Rust on surface caused by staining, reinforcement or iron pyrites.
- (k) Installation not matching joint layout on approved shop drawings.
- (1) Form marks or local depressions in excess of 0.030 in. (0.76 mm).

Sample units should demonstrate a variety of shapes and casting configurations, including surfaces cast in different orientations, in order to convey the potential full range of appearances that can occur once constructed. Sample panels, required by Section 6.3, are necessary to allow for the project team to visualize the appearance of the cast stone in a format larger than 12 in. samples. Careful quality control at the plant and during installation is critical to obtain an acceptable finished product.

**6.4.1** *Qualifications* — The entities verifying compliance must be competent and knowledgeable of cast stone construction and the requirements of this standard. Minimum qualifications for those individuals must also be established by the quality assurance program in the contract documents.

The responsible party performing the quality control measures should document the organizational representatives who will be a part of the quality control segment, their qualifications, and their precise conduct during the performance of the quality assurance phase.

ASTM C1093 (ASTM C1093, 2022) defines the duties and responsibilities of testing agency personnel and defines

**6.4.1** *Qualifications* — The quality assurance program shall define the qualifications for testing laboratories and for inspection agencies.

**6.4.2** *Pre-construction* — Prior to the start of construction, compliance with the approved submittals shall be verified.

**6.4.3** *During construction* — During construction, the following shall be verified for compliance:

- a. Proportions of site-prepared mortar and grout.
- b. Construction of mortar joints.
- c. Grout space and grout placement.
- d. Grade, type, and size of reinforcement, anchors, and connectors.
- e. Location and placement of reinforcement, anchors, and connectors.
- f. Preparation, construction, and protection of cast stone during cold weather (temperature below 40°F (4.4°C) or hot weather (temperature above 90°F (32.2°C).

At a minimum, inspection during construction shall be conducted periodically.

**6.4.4** Sample preparation — When cast stone, mortar, or grout specimen samples are required to be obtained, their preparation in the field shall be verified for compliance with applicable governing standards.

**6.4.5** *Post-construction* — Following the completion of cast stone work, compliance with the approved sample panel shall be verified.

# Section 7 Project Conditions

#### 7.1 — Protection

Cover top of unfinished cast stone work to protect it from the weather.

### 7.2 — Hot and cold weather construction

Hot and cold weather construction shall comply with the requirements of Article 1.8D and Article 1.8C of TMS 602, respectively. the technical requirements for equipment used in testing cast stone materials. Testing agencies who are accredited or inspected for conformance to the requirements of ASTM C1093 by a recognized evaluation authority are qualified to evaluate cast stone. In addition, the Cast Stone Institute offers a certification program for the testing of cast stone materials. More information on this program is available at www.caststone.org.

**6.4.2** *Pre-construction* — No commentary.

**6.4.3** During construction — No commentary.

**6.4.4** Sample preparation —See Section 2 for a list of ASTM standards governing the preparation of test samples obtained from the field.

**6.4.5** *Post-construction* — No commentary.

# Section 7 Project Conditions

#### 7.1 — Protection

No commentary.

#### 7.2 — Hot and cold weather construction

The hot and cold weather construction procedures defined in TMS 602 (2016) have a track record of successful use in cast stone construction. Additional background and supporting information for these provisions can be found in the commentary to TMS 602. These requirements apply when the ambient air temperature is 40 degrees F (4.4 degrees C) or less or when the ambient air temperature is 90 degrees F (32.2 degrees C) or more. The installer must take measures to ensure that the quality of the installation is not compromised from low and high temperatures.

# Section 8 Execution

### 8.1 — Site tolerances

Cast stone shall be constructed within the following tolerances from the specified dimensions:

- 1. Dimension of elements
  - a. In cross section or elevation
    - ..... $-\frac{1}{4}$  in. (6.4 mm),  $+\frac{1}{2}$  in. (12.7 mm)
  - b. Mortar joint thickness
    - bed: ..... $\pm \frac{1}{8}$  in. (3.2 mm) head: ..... $-\frac{1}{4}$  in. (6.4 mm),  $+\frac{3}{8}$  in. (9.5 mm) collar: ..... $-\frac{1}{4}$  in. (6.4 mm),  $+\frac{3}{8}$  in. (9.5 mm)
  - c. Grout space or cavity width:
    - $-\frac{1}{4}$  in. (6.4 mm),  $+\frac{3}{8}$  in. (9.5 mm)
- 2. Elements
  - a. Variation from level:

bed joints:  $\pm^{1}/_{4}$  in. (6.4 mm) in 10 ft (3.05 m) not to exceed  $\pm^{1}/_{2}$  in. (12.7 mm) maximum

top surface of bearing walls:  $\pm^{1/4}$  in. (6.4 mm) in 10 ft (3.05 m) not to exceed  $\pm^{1/2}$  in. (12.7 mm) maximum

b. Variation from plumb

.....  $\pm^{1/4}$  in. (6.4 mm) in 10 ft (3.05 m) .....  $\pm^{3/8}$  in. (9.5 mm) in 20 ft (6.10 m) .....  $\pm^{1/2}$  in. (12.7 mm) maximum

c. True to a line

 $\dots \pm \frac{1}{4} \text{ in. (6.4 mm) in 10 ft (3.05 m)} \\ \dots \pm \frac{3}{8} \text{ in. (9.5 mm) in 20 ft (6.10 m)} \\ \dots \pm \frac{1}{2} \text{ in. (12.7 mm) maximum}$ 

- d. Alignment of columns and walls  $\pm^{3/4}$  in. (19.1 mm)
- 3. Location of elements

### 8.2 — Construction

Construction of cast stone assemblies shall comply with Section 8.2.1 or 8.2.2

**8.2.1** Setting in mortar — Unless specified otherwise, cast stone units shall be wetted prior to setting

# Section 8 Execution

### 8.1 — Site tolerances

The tolerances for construction are intended to capture both structural stability as well as minimum aesthetic criteria.

### 8.2 — Construction

**8.2.1** *Setting in mortar* — Typical joints thicknesses for cast stone construction include:

in mortar. Units having face dimensions of less than 3.75 ft<sup>2</sup> (0.35 m<sup>2</sup>) shall be laid in bed joints and head joints that are fully mortared or shall be dry-set in accordance with Section 8.2.2. Dowel holes and anchor slots shall be completely filled with mortar or non-shrink grout. Specified mortar joint thickness shall not exceed  $3/_8$  in. (9.5 mm). Unless specified otherwise, after placing cast stone units, the mortar shall be raked back not less than  $3/_4$  in. (19 mm) while the mortar is still plastic. Joints shall be pointed to the finished surface, and unless specified otherwise, shall be tooled to a concave profile.

**8.2.2** Dry setting — Units having face dimensions greater than or equal to 3.75 ft<sup>2</sup> (0.35 m<sup>2</sup>) shall be laid without the use of mortar and shimmed to the specified joint thickness. Specified joint thickness shall not exceed  ${}^{3}\!/_{8}$  in. (9.5 mm). After placing cast stone units, the joints shall be sealed with an approved sealant over backer rod.

#### 8.3 — Cleaning and repair

Chips and cracks in cast stone shall be repaired using materials supplied by the cast stone manufacturer. Final acceptance of the repair method shall be approved by a licensed design professional or owner.  $^{1/4}$  in. (6.4 mm) at cast stone/cast stone head joints; and

 $^{3}/_{8}$  in. (9.5 mm) at cast stone/cast stone bed joints.

Historical practices have generally limited the size of cast stone units set in mortar to a maximum of 2.5 ft by 1.5 ft (0.76 m by 0.46 m) in face dimensions. Field investigations have shown that units larger than this size are difficult to set in plastic mortar without shimming due to their weight and often result in cracking of the finished assembly as the mortar cures. Smaller cast stone units can be either set in mortar in accordance with Section 8.2.1 using any anchor or tie permitted by Sections 5.5 and 5.6 of TMS 504 or dry-set in accordance with Section 8.2.2, although the latter option is used less frequently with small cast stone units given the added expense and complexity of dry-setting cast stone units .

**8.2.2** Dry setting — See Commentary Section C8.2.1 for additional discussion on the selection of joint types.

#### 8.3 — Cleaning and repair

The best insurance against chipping and cracking is care in handling and protection of the unit after installation. Even with all of the special care and protection, cast stone may still become chipped from time to time and a certain amount of touch up is to be expected. Damage to stone either while in transit or during installation is usually classified as repair.

Touch up – Any chip obvious from a 20 ft (6.1 m) distance should be touched up with material provided by the manufacturer. Chips measuring 1/4 in. (6.4 mm) or less across the face are usually left alone. The stone mason should include touch up as part of the ordinary pointing and washdown operations prior to final inspection.

Repair – Chips measuring larger than  $^{1}/_{4}$  in. (6.4 mm) across the face are usually addressed in a separate operation as soon as possible following occurrence of damage. The procedure for repair will include dressing the damage and applying fresh material to achieve the desired finish and shape, covering the repair with a wet rag and/or plastic cover, then taking steps to blend the repair into the adjacent areas.

Cast stone units with unsupported edges exhibiting chips larger than 8 in.<sup>2</sup> (51.6 cm<sup>2</sup>) should be replaced. Units that are broken should be replaced unless the damaged portion can be salvaged and epoxied back to the unit. Units with cracks near anchor points should be replaced.

Most cracks can be repaired if the units are reinforced. Units should be epoxy injected after the cause of the cracking has been identified and remedied. Alternatively, cracks that are observed in installed units can be grouted with a constituent material if the crack is less than 0.007 in.

(0.18 mm) and the forces that caused the crack have been eliminated.

Climatic conditions must be taken into consideration before repair is to commence. Repair procedures should not proceed in freezing weather or if a freeze is anticipated within 24 hours. On hot sunny days, repair should be done during the morning hours where the cast stone is shaded or at temperatures less than 90°F (32°C). Repairs should be covered with a damp cloth and plastic sheet to prevent the cement from hydrating too quickly.

The same material that was used to manufacture the cast stone should be used for touch up and repair. Experimental batches should not be used in an effort to obtain an instant color match. The water/cement ratio used should be as close as possible to the mix at the time of manufacture. Acrylic bonding agents may be used, but not in place of water. When used, wetting agents should be limited to less than a tablespoon (14.8 cm<sup>3</sup>) per handful of the cast stone material. Metal tools should not be used for applying a repair. Cast stone units that have been acid etched at the factory will require the same treatment applied to the touch up or repair.

A properly executed repair will not match in color immediately. Dry cast products will appear lighter where repaired; wet cast products may appear darker. Repairs that match, immediately or in two or three days, have a tendency to change color after weathering. Through curing, weathering, and ultraviolet light, the patch will eventually change to match the adjacent unit. This process could take 3 months to a year or longer depending on the climatic conditions and exposure to the weather.

Repairs that cannot be seen from a 20 ft (6.1 m) distance when viewed in good, typical lighting should be accepted.

This page intentionally left blank

# Commentary References to Standards for Architectural Cast Stone

### **TMS 404 Commentary References**

- ACI 318, 2022. ACI 318-22 Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete, American Concrete Institute, Farmington Hills, MI, 2022.
- ASTM C426, 2022. ASTM C426-22, Standard Test Method for Linear Drying Shrinkage of Concrete Masonry Units, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, www.astm.org, 2022.
- ASTM C1364 2023. ASTM C1364-23, Standard Specification for Architectural Cast Stone, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, www.astm.org, 2023.
- NCMA TEK 10-3, 2003. "Control Joints for Concrete Masonry Walls – Alternative Engineered Method," NCMA TEK 10-3, National Concrete Masonry Association, Herndon, VA, 2003.
- TMS 402, 2022. TMS 402-22, Building Code Requirements for Masonry Structures, The Masonry Society, Longmont, CO, 2022.

### **TMS 604 Commentary References**

- ASTM C1093, 2022. ASTM C1093-22 Standard Practice for Accreditation of Testing Agencies for Masonry, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, www.astm.org, 2022.
- ASTM C1384, 2012. ASTM C1384-12a Standard Specification for Admixtures for Masonry Mortars, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, www.astm.org, 2012.
- TMS 602, 2022. TMS 402-22, Specification for Masonry Structures, The Masonry Society, Longmont, CO, 2022.